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O. Leid. 6: A photograph is printed here as plate 1. Lines 4 and 5 should be read as follows:

'Επολυπτικὸς Καμῆπτος Μεοοπάντου Μαμίλων(ν) (πολὺ αργάθας) γ'III (εύνοια τοῦ) (πολὺ αργάθας) γ'III

O. Leid. 7: The last word of line 5 is abbreviated: τρόμος(ν).

O. Leid. 8: The name at the start of line 4 should be Παναιάς, perhaps the name partially read in O. Petr. 373.

O. Leid. 9: Line 4 should begin συμειοθετείνησαν (γενέσται) (πολὺ αργάθας) L. 66. The delus in the numeral is only a blob of ink.

O. Leid. 11: Plate 2. At the end of line 3, the vertical stroke that appears on the photograph is a crack in the sherd, and the last word of the line is accordingly to be read δεδ. In line 5, the signer's name is present; we read Σα(μ') ο(σις) μελέταμας.

O. Leid. 13: Plate 3. We read the text as follows (some of the corrections already made by us in BASP 6, 1969, p. 67):

'Αντίγογος ἄποχ' ἐξ ἀλλ' ἀλοθ[δε] ἰμπ. Τύχη ὑπὸ κ ἰμπ. ἀρμ. ματοῦς Ψυκτικοῦτοντος Σοτάπους ἐν ἐπὶ ἐμημερίζομενον

1. The readings presented here are the result of two days of joint study of the ostraca in Leiden during a visit by Rasmall in Amsterdam in June 1971, made possible by his tenure of a Canada Council Doctoral Fellowship. We are indebted to the Rijksmuseum van Oudheden for providing facilities to study the texts and for the photographs printed here.

We do not mention in this article corrections made to some of the same ostraca by us in BASP 6, 1969, pp. 66-72, to which this article is a supplement.
O. Leid. 14: Plate 4. We read the text as follows:

Μετακόμιον θησαυροῦ μετατόπισεν γενήματος γ' (Θεσ.)
Λοκάτου
Σεστιτών Σκοτεινοὺ Περσάνος
Καλάπαρος τοῦ κυρίου Ἐπέκ (ὑπὲρ)
Χριστοῦ (λιστών) Φασίανομενον Ἐπεκ (πυρὸδ
ὑπῆργους) Κολέαστος
(ὑπέτας) (πυρὸ διάλεγεσ) ὑπ. Ἰω( ) στη(μείωμα).

In line 1, we take the heavy stroke at the end of γενήματος as an abbreviation mark for that word; the γενήμα that follows is very lightly written. The month name is line 3 is probably beta, but a careless delta is not excluded. In line 5, the numeral must be θ' but the beta does not look like one (cf photograph). The correct date is 26 June 195, in the sole reign of Severus.

O. Leid. 17: In ZPE 4, 1969, p. 196, G. M. Brown, correcting line 2, writes, “It should also be noted that the sigmas in προσ(λαγάσματα) is not clear from the photograph; γενήδ may have been written instead.” Examination of the original shows that the sigmas was poorly written, being little more than a straight line, but that the delta is quite clear, though the right-hand stroke was not completed.

O. Leid. 18: Plate 5. Line 2 reads [Ἀχέρος μαρά συγκρίνημα] Ἐπεκ. The ostracoon thus forms part of a series of the “taxes on trades” published e.g. in O. Bod. 1581 ff., which record the month for which the tax was paid, the amounts being constant and not stated.

O. Leid. 22: Plate 6. We read:

Πορευθήσει και μετάτοπος τῆς (Ἀχήρος) (θησαυροῦ) λεπτομέρεια χρ. γ'.
interprets the second half of line 6 as presenting the sequence 1/2, 1/4, 1/8 rather than the 2/3, 1/8 of the first publication. The beginning of the line also needs correction. The whole should read:

J. 3: Ἰμανής 5 (πυρὸς ὡρᾶν) θέσθησα(με) 

O. Leid. 129: The names in line 1 appear to be Πεποφό(το) Ἡρώδης(ος).

O. Leid. 130: The last name in line 1 is Παύλου(ος).

O. Leid. 131: The taxpayer’s patronymic in line 2 seems to be Ἐρρήττο(ος).

O. Leid. 132: What is read in line 3 as Ποτιτ () in fact seems to be δ(ά) with the descending stroke (ιοτ) written over in the following manner: δ(ά). We interpret the phrase as δ(ά) γ(εωργη). 

O. Leid. 136: In line 1, for μνε(μοπος) read κνε(μον). The names in line 3 appear to be Σεριές Σεβιμ(ον).

O. Leid. 140: The beginning of line 4 appears to read ὡρ(ος), but we are unsure of the correct interpretation of the letter.

O. Leid. 143: The beginning of line 5 may read Τῆς(το) γ(εωργη). In line 6, the correct reading is καὶ ἀδελφο(ος) Ἱσρα(ος).

In line 7, instead of a denarius sign at the end of the line, there seems to be a rent, as has been restored in line 11: Παν(ή) ἱπ(α) 

O. Leid. 144: In line 11, the signature in fact reads Αὐθήλ(ος) Ἀπολλάκ(ος).
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