

# A NEW METALINGUISTIC DEGREE MORPHEME

M. Ryan Bochnak & Eva Csipak
UC Berkeley & University of Göttingen



## Distribution and use

- (1) That color is blue-ish. *urbandictionary.com*
- (2) He opened the gifts with child**ish** delight. *merriam-webster.com*
- (3) I've known what they are since high school-**ish**. Facebook
- (4) They won the match ... ish.
- (5) I liked the movie ... ish.
- (6) Joey: I haven't dreamt about her like that since I found out about you two ... ish. Friends season 5 ep 16
- (7) Mac: You've got a plan, right?
  Veronica: **ish.** Veronica Mars season 2 ep 8

We concentrate here on the propositional uses in (4)-(7)

- attenuates speaker commitment to p
- metalinguistic: speaker comments on appropriateness of uttering p
- ► roughly: "degree to which speaker is committed to *p* is less than maximal"

## Usually **speaker-oriented**

- ... ish resists embedding under negation and if clauses
- can be embedded under reportative or attitude predicate, then hedging can shift to matrix attitude holder
- (8) I didn't like the movie . . . ish.  $\neq$  "It's not the case that [I liked the movie . . . ish]"
- (9) ??If Lee draws a circle . . . ish, he will get a gold star.
- (10) Lee {told me/believes} that Kim liked the movie ... ish.

## Attitude towards a proposition

Giannakidou and Yoon (2011): Metalinguistic comparison as propositional attitude

- ightharpoonup Epistemic agent  $\alpha$  holds an attitude R towards a proposition p
- The degree to which  $\alpha$  holds R towards p is less than a maximal degree
- ▶ R is roughly the attitude " $\alpha$  is willing to assert p to degree d"
- (11) [...ish](p) = 1 iff  $max\{d|R(\alpha)(p)(d) = 1\} < d_s \land small_c(d_s-max\{d|R(\alpha)(p)(d) = 1\})$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\alpha$  is normally the speaker, but can be shifted to the subject of a propositional attitude verb

Compare: Sugawara's (2012) analysis of adjectival -ish

(12) 
$$[-ish] = \lambda P_{\langle d,et \rangle} \lambda x. \max\{d|P(d)(x) = 1\} < s(P) \land (s(P)-\max\{d|P(d)(x) = 1\}) < d_c$$

## Degrees of precision

- Morzycki (2011) metalinguistic comparison as comparing degrees of precision
- ► Interpretation function relativized to degree *d* of precision
- Metalinguistic degree morphemes gain access to d by means of operator PREC
- (13)  $[PREC \ \alpha]^d = \lambda d'. [\alpha]^{d'}$
- ... ish makes explicit that the speaker is using a lower level of precision for the statement being made
- applies to a proposition p with open degree of precision argument, and returns set of alternatives
- (14)  $[...ish]^d = \lambda p_{\langle d,\langle s,t\rangle\rangle}.\{\lambda w.\max\{d'|p(d')(w)\} < d \land small_c(d-d')\}$
- ► Speaker commitment as upper-bounded scale Compare Sugawara (2012): adjectival -ish requires open or upper-bounded scale
- (15) ??The room is dirty-ish.

Both analyses: speaker is less than maximally committed to a proposition

## Comparison with German schon

- Unaccented modal particle schon has a similar effect
- only combines with propositions
- ▶ Zimmermann (2014): given the available facts  $q_1, \ldots, q_n$  in the (usually circumstantial) modal base MB, the number of facts supporting p is greater than the number of facts supporting  $\neg p$
- compatible with attitude verbs
- ok with if clauses (unlike . . . ish)
- (16) Alex fand den Film schon gut. 'Alex SCHON liked the movie.'
- (17) Alex war schon die beste Kandidatin. 'Alex was SCHON the best candidate.'
- (18) Wenn er schon als Lehrer ununterbrochen redet, sollte er wenigstens in seiner Freizeit die Klappe halten.

  'If he SCHON talks a lot while teaching, he should at least shut up when he is not working.'
- 19)  $[(17)] = \forall w' \in max(MB_{circ}(w))$ : best-candidate(Alex) in w'; defined iff  $\forall q \in \{best-candidate(Alex),\}$ 
  - $\neg$ best-candidate(Alex)}:  $q \ge_{eval,S}$ best-candidate(Alex)

## Comparison with sorta

- (20) a. Gas is sorta expensive.
  - b. ... running on concrete and accidentally sorta kicked the ground *COCA*
  - c. I may be your sorta mom now. *Modern Family season 4 ep 19*

Anderson (2013): *sorta* also tracks degrees of imprecision (a la Morzycki)

- also accesses degrees of imprecision via PREC
- sorta combines only with predicates, never with propositions
- sorta is also ok with lower-bounded scales
   (This stick is sorta bent/?bent-ish)

## Comparison with ... NOT

- (21) I liked the movie...**NOT**.
- different function; similar form
- logical negation of a proposition (not meta-linguistic)
- resists embedding under *if* clauses and attitude predicates; can be used as an answer particle
- possibly speakers have innovated propositional ... ish on analogy to ... not

### **Further issues**

- Scope ambiguities?
- (22) Everyone liked the movie ... ish.
- (23) Alex was the best candidate...ish.
- Negation of metalinguistic comparatives
- (24) It's not the case that Lee is more a semanticist than a philosopher.
- (25) It's not the case that Lee is a semanticist . . . ish.  $(... ish > \neg only)$

#### References

Anderson, Curt. 2013. Inherent and coerced gradability across categories: manipulating pragmatic halos with sorta. In *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) 23*, ed. Anca Chereches and Todd Snider, 81–96. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications.

Giannakidou, Anastasia, and Suwon Yoon. 2011. The subjective mode of comparison: Metalinguistic comparatives in Greek and Korean. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 29:621–655.

Morzycki, Marcin. 2011. Metalinguistic comparison in an alternative semantics for imprecision. *Natural Language Semantics* 19:39–86.

Sugawara, Ayaka. 2012. Semantics of English suffix -ish. Paper presented at CLS 48. Zimmermann, Malte. 2014. Wird schon stimmen! a unified analysis of modal and aspectual 'schon' as scale aligners. Handout from talk at DGfS 2014.