

מדינת ישראל

משרדי הממשלה

משירי

מלך המלכות

53N 481.

ספר

7/9/88 15/9/88



שם תיק: היועץ המדיני לראש הממשלה - ארה"ב

מזוהה פיזי : א-7/4607

R00035qp : מזהה פריט:

תאריך הדפסה: 10/08/2020

מחלקה

U.S. RO,

卅

סמל מ"א

דף: 1	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	דמי פיות: בחול
כתוב: 20		סוג: שמור (ללא תפוצה נוספת)
אל:	לשכת רה"מ לשכת ממרח"מ ושח"ח	תאריך וזמן חבור: 1700 15 בספטמבר 1988
דע:	המנכ"ל	כס' פרוק: הפסד:
פאת:	לשכת השגריר	289

נאום מזכיר חמדינה

1. ראונא העתק נאום הצפוד של המזכיר כפי שהועבר אלינו בצרוף מכתבי לואי לרה"מ וממרה"מ ושח"ח.
2. מלשכת המזכיר מבקשים לא להפיץ ולא להדליף דברים מהנייר עד מועד מסירתו יום ו' בערב שערן ורש', כלומר שבת לפנות-בוקר - שעונכס. אנא !
3. המקור מועבר בדיפ.

הערה: סיווג מברק זה כשמור נובע מטעמי העברה בקשר.

עמרכי



שוח 2
רה"מ 3
אנל 1
גלב 1

תפוצה: שגריר, ציר.

THE SECRETARY OF STATE
WASHINGTON

2/20

289

September 15, 1988


Dear Yitzhak:

I have asked Ambassador Arad to send to you an advance copy of the speech I will deliver on September 16 at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy. The speech focusses on the Arab-Israel conflict, and is part of the intensive effort in which we have been engaged this year to bring about negotiations.

My purpose in speaking at this time is to reaffirm that a realistic and workable peace process remains available. Especially during this period of change and uncertainty, as some seek to portray the Arab-Israel conflict as undergoing fundamental change, it is important to point to the enduring realities of the conflict and the essential elements of a realistic peace process. The only way to go forward is on terms that you and we share, whatever differences we may have on details.

Once again, many wishes for a happy, prosperous and peaceful New Year.

Sincerely yours,



George P. Shultz

His Excellency
Yitzhak Shamir,
Prime Minister of Israel.

THE SECRETARY OF STATE
WASHINGTON

289

3/20

September 15, 1988

Dear Shimon:

I have asked Ambassador Arad to send to you an advance copy of the speech I will deliver on September 16 at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy. The speech focusses on the Arab-Israel conflict, and is part of the intensive effort in which we have been engaged this year to bring about negotiations.

My purpose in speaking at this time is to reaffirm that a realistic and workable peace process remains available. Especially during this period of change and uncertainty, as some seek to portray the Arab-Israel conflict as undergoing fundamental change, it is important to point to the enduring realities of the conflict and the essential elements of a realistic peace process. The only way to go forward is on terms that you and we share, whatever differences we may have on details.

Once again, many wishes for a happy, prosperous and peaceful New Year.

Sincerely yours,



George P. Shultz

His Excellency
Shimon Peres,
Deputy Prime Minister and
Minister of Foreign Affairs of Israel.

289

4/20

THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION'S APPROACH
TO MIDDLE EAST PEACEMAKING

REMARKS BY
THE HONORABLE GEORGE P. SHULTZ
BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON INSTITUTE FOR NEAR EAST POLICY

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1988

Decision time is approaching in the Middle East. In Israel and Lebanon, within the Palestinian community, and in the Gulf, choices will be made that will have a profound impact on the politics of the region and on the chances of settling conflicts peacefully. These decisions must be based on a dispassionate and cold look at reality.

For nearly nine months, the United States has highlighted a simple but far-reaching reality in the Arab-Israeli conflict. The status quo between Arabs and Israelis does not work. It is not viable. It is dangerous. It contains the seeds of a worsening conflict that threatens to inflict even greater losses on all sides in the future.

-2-

289

5/20

The Arab-Israeli conflict is not static. Today, potentially far-reaching changes are taking place. But, the fundamental nature of the conflict, and the principles for resolving it have not changed. Indeed, continuity and constancy appear even more important in the process of resolving this conflict. The challenge facing the next Administration will be to shape change by building on the fundamental constants. This will serve U.S. interests and enhance the prospects for peace.

What is the shape of the Middle East today?

- o The Palestinian uprising in the West Bank and Gaza has not altered the fundamental nature of the Arab-Israeli conflict. It's a reminder that comprehensive peace requires peace between Israelis and Palestinians. And it's a reminder that the status quo serves the interests of no party.
- o Jordan's disengagement from the West Bank hasn't ended Jordan's involvement in the peace process. Jordan has its own interests to pursue.

-3-

289

9/20

Jordan's border with Israel is the longest of any, and much of its population is related by family ties to residents of the West Bank and Gaza. The shaping of Jordan's role in negotiations and in a settlement are among the key issues that need to be assessed by all parties.

- o Israel's upcoming election only highlights the intense and continuing debate within that country about peace. People are taking a hard look at the prospects for peace, and they are asking hard questions: Should Israel trade land for peace? Will continued occupation affect the democratic and Jewish nature of the State of Israel? What should Israelis do about Palestinian rights? Are other Arabs ready to accept Israel as a neighbor and make peace?
- o The options before the Palestinians also have not changed. Palestinians are grappling with tough choices. Should they renounce terrorism and violence and choose a political course toward peace? How should they move beyond empty slogans toward realistic and responsible positions to give new life to the peace process?

-4-

289

7/20

- o Elsewhere in the region, change and constancy are the key words. In Lebanon, a new President is scheduled to be elected, amidst hopes that this will give a push to the process of national reconciliation. All Lebanese recognize the dangers that would result from a failure to elect a President according to the constitution.
- o Iran and Iraq are now negotiating under U.N. auspices to bring about an end to eight years of bloody and destructive war in the Gulf. The results of these talks will have a profound influence on the entire region.
- o Ballistic missiles and chemical weapons continue to proliferate. The use of chemical weapons by both sides in the Gulf war, and Iraq's use of these weapons against the Kurds, are grim reminders of the dangers these weapons pose to the conduct of international relations.
- o In Afghanistan, Soviet troops are withdrawing. The people of Afghanistan look forward to the end of Soviet intervention.

289

8/20

Continuity in the Midst of Change

So, the fact of change is less important than the uses made of change. The Arab-Israeli conflict does not stand still. But there are enduring realities that point to a method for resolving the conflict.

The Arab-Israeli conflict is not intractable. Negotiations can bring about peace. No matter what new situations or difficulties Arabs and Israelis face as they approach negotiations, one thing is certain once they get there: They will confront some enduring realities that shape the rules of the negotiations and the outlines of a fair settlement that negotiations can be expected to produce.

What are the principles that underlie a comprehensive settlement of the Arab-Israeli conflict?

The existence, security and well-being of Israel are the first principles of any settlement. Israel has the right to exist, and it has the right to exist in security. We will do our utmost to ensure it.

-6-

289

9/20

The requirements of security need to be understood clearly. These include military hardware, defensible geographic positions, and technological knowhow. The United States has cooperated with Israel on these elements, and that cooperation will continue. But these are not the only critical components of Israel's security.

Real security results from resolving political differences that continue to fuel conflict. The location of borders is important, but more important is what crosses those borders: ideas, goods and people, instead of armies and weapons. Borders need to be secure and recognized, but political differences between neighbors also need to be resolved through compromise.

Palestinian political rights must also be recognized and addressed. Palestinians want more than the basic necessities of life. They want, and they are entitled to, political participation, and influence over political and economic decisions that affect their lives. This can occur if opportunities for peace and dialogue are seized.

-7-

289

10/20

A third enduring reality is that the history, security and destiny of Israelis, Jordanians, Palestinians and Egyptians are inextricably bound together. Jordan is a vibrant and heterogeneous society, with a strong national identity of its own. It is not a Palestinian state. An enduring settlement must reflect the reality that strong, open relations will need to exist among the Israeli, Palestinian, Jordanian and Egyptian peoples.

A critical and enduring reality is that negotiations work. Ten years ago, Egypt and Israel forged a treaty of peace that has survived enormous strains. They continue to demonstrate that dialogue and negotiations resolve differences between peoples, far better than war and violence.

Translating Principles into a Negotiated Settlement

American efforts to bring about negotiations are rooted in these enduring principles. Our approach seeks a comprehensive and durable settlement, grounded in United Nations Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338. It calls for direct negotiations, launched, if required, through an international conference. It requires acceptance of 242 and 338 and renunciation of violence and terrorism.

-8-

289

11/20

As regards the West Bank and Gaza, our approach highlights the need for a transitional period to help the parties adjust to working with each other to implement an agreement. It recognizes the relationship in time and substance between the transitional period and the final status agreement. It affirms the right of Palestinians to participate actively in every stage of negotiations. And it reflects the strategic reality of Jordanian-Palestinian interdependence.

This has been the American approach to negotiations. The purposes of this effort have been clear.

First, the objective is comprehensive peace between Israel and all its neighbors, achieved through negotiations based on United Nations Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338. This will require the exchange of territory for peace. It will require recognition that sovereignty cannot be defined in absolute terms. Today, borders are porous. Openness is required for the free movement of ideas, people and goods. There will need to be a border demarcation, but not a wall established between peoples.

-9-

289

12/26

The territorial issue needs to be addressed realistically. Israel will never negotiate from or return to the lines of partition. But it must be prepared to withdraw -- as Resolution 242 says -- "from territories occupied in the recent conflict." Peace and security for all sides are at stake.

Second, peace between Israel and its neighbors will need time and growing mutual good will to succeed. In the case of the West Bank and Gaza, this means there must be a transitional period. All sides need to deal with one another gradually in the light of an agreement freely negotiated. All need time to adjust to a new situation. Palestinians need to achieve rapid control over political and economic decisions that affect their lives. Israelis need time to adjust to a new situation, one in which Palestinians -- not Israeli military government officials -- administer the West Bank and Gaza.

The concept of transition is vital and far-reaching. Many of its elements have already been worked through and accepted by Israel. These transitional arrangements are extensive and dramatic. They can be implemented quickly.

-10-

289

13/20

Such transitional arrangements will benefit from the interplay with final status negotiations. Each party needs to know the principles that will define the final settlement. As those principles are hammered out in negotiations, they will enhance the transitional arrangements themselves. Each element strengthens the other. This is the essence and benefit of interlock between transitional arrangements and final status.

Direct negotiations are at the heart of this negotiating process. No party should be expected to trust its vital national security interests to any mechanism except direct talks. How better to engage an adversary, take his measure, assess intentions and probe for openings than to square off across the table? Direct talks work.

In the Arab-Israeli conflict, an international conference may also be necessary to ease the entry of the parties into direct negotiations. This conference would also be in a position, at the right time, to deal with important region-wide issues, such as economic development, joint resource sharing, and humanitarian concerns. But only the right kind of conference should take place, one that helps launch and support direct negotiations without interfering in them.

-11-

289

14/20

Palestinian participation is required at every stage of the negotiations. Palestinians have a vital stake in the outcome of negotiations. They must have a say in the negotiations themselves, and they must approve the outcome.

Participation involves responsibility, however. There are no free rides. All parties must demonstrate their desire to make peace. They must be creative and reliable; they must adhere to internationally accepted principles and norms. For Palestinians, this means acting credibly and pursuing goals that are achievable.

No participant in a peace process can wave the flag of justice in one hand, and brandish the weapons of terrorism in the other. All participants must renounce violence and terrorism. Each must agree to negotiate on the accepted international basis of Security Council Resolutions 242 and 338.

There are also no free rides for outside parties that want to play a role in settling the conflict. Both the United States and the Soviet Union consider a settlement of the conflict to be in their national interest. But, the Soviets will need to confront some difficult choices.

-12-

289

15/20

There is no longer any excuse for the Soviets to avoid such important steps as resuming full diplomatic relations with Israel; nor is there justification for preventing Jews who wish to emigrate from doing so. The sooner these things are done, the better for the peace process.

Effective Policies in a Period of Change

The challenge of Arab-Israeli peace-making in a time of change is to find the right mix of fundamental realities and creative ideas. The question is how to assess some of these ideas at this time.

- o Peace cannot be achieved through the creation of an independent Palestinian state, or through permanent Israeli control or annexation of the West Bank and Gaza. At the same time, each party is free to bring any position it chooses to the negotiating table. Israelis are free to argue for annexation; Palestinians are free to argue for independence. The United States will not support either of these positions during negotiations.

-13-

289

16/20

- o The status of the West Bank and Gaza cannot be determined by unilateral acts of either side, but only through a process of negotiations. A declaration of independent Palestinian statehood or government-in-exile would be such a unilateral act. Palestinians need to decide whether to remain a part of the problem in the Middle East, or become a part of the solution. History need not repeat itself. Practical, realistic steps by Palestinians are required.
- o An attempt by Israel to transfer Palestinians from the West Bank and Gaza would also be a unilateral act to determine the status of those territories. The United States would oppose this vigorously. Such a policy does not provide a solution to the problem, nor does it bring negotiations any closer.
- o It is also not acceptable to shift the focus from what Palestinians or Israelis need to do to advance the peace process, to what the United States should do. This applies to those who urge that the United States should support Palestinian self-determination.

-14-

289

17/20

The United States cannot accept "self-determination" when it is a codeword for an independent Palestinian state or for unilateral determination of the outcome of negotiations. To expect the PLO to accept Resolutions 242 and 338 as the basis for negotiations is not to ask it to make a concession. Those resolutions lay out basic principles which the international community has decided must be reflected in a peace settlement. In addition to these, the legitimate rights of the Palestinian people -- including political rights -- must also be addressed. It is through acceptance of these principles -- not through any action by the United States -- that the Palestinians can participate fully in determining their own future.

Conditioning the Environment for Negotiations

In the Arab-Israeli conflict, there is no objective reality and no immutable set of circumstances that cannot be shaped by decisions for peace. During the period ahead, such decisions are required. Israelis and Palestinians themselves must condition the environment for negotiations. They can start down the road to accommodation and reconciliation.

-15-

289

18/20

Violence has distracted people from establishing achievable objectives. Political debate must replace violence.

Concrete actions on the ground are required. Palestinians must renounce terrorism and violence. They must accept the right of Israel to exist in peace and present themselves as a viable negotiating partner. They cannot murder or threaten other Palestinians who maintain contact with Israeli authorities.

For its part, Israel has the responsibility to maintain law and order in the West Bank and Gaza. But, Israel must also find a way to respond to expressions of Palestinian grievances. It cannot claim there is no one to talk to, while suppressing political expression and arresting or deporting those who speak out -- even those who speak in moderate terms.

There must also be actions on the regional level. The peace treaty between Egypt and Israel is a strategic anchor of the entire peace process; it must constantly be enhanced. Relations between Israel and other Arab states must start down the road to normalization. Relations between people don't need to await the formality of a treaty. Israelis and Arabs should find ways to talk to each other now, even before treaty relations exist.

-16-

289

19/20

The conditions under which refugees live in the region must also be addressed. Poverty is no ally of peace. The continuing existence of refugees does not make the case for Palestinian nationalism stronger. Palestinian refugees can live in better conditions even while the search for peace continues. Arabs and Israelis, together with the international community, must shoulder this responsibility.

Finally, there must be a change of attitude throughout the region. The way people think affects the way they act. Cynicism, skepticism and pessimism about peace must be shaken. The conflict must be seen as resolvable. Once there is the will for and belief in a settlement, the benefits of peace will be seen to outweigh the real but transitory risks of achieving it.

So, fundamental realities persist, even in the midst of change. The goals of the peace process have not changed, nor have the principles of negotiations.

Indeed, the only thing that needs to change is the willingness of people in the Middle East to move the peace process forward.

-17-

289

20/20

Israelis, Palestinians, Jordanians, Syrians and Lebanese can make peace happen. The Egyptians are more than ready to do their part. So are we. And so are others around the world. The opportunities today are greater than before, and so are the risks of doing nothing. To make peace, the parties must exploit the new opportunities created by the current ferment. They should start now.

** נכנס

טורי

**
**
**

חוזם: 9,10280

אל: המשרד

מ:- ווש, נר: 287, תא: 150988, זח: 1900, זח: ר, סג: טו

תח: & גט: מרנ

נ: &

טורי/רגיל

אל: מרן, אמר, מצמא

יוצור ושימוש בנשק בימי - עמדת ארהב

1. בשיחה (15.9) עם מיווענר רוג'ר הריסון (ס/עוזר המזכיר לנושאים מולטיוויס - צבאיים) סיפר על כוונת מחמד למרסם הודעה בענין יכולתה של לוב לייצור נשק בימי (על תוכן ההודעה בנפרד).

2. לדברי הריסון המטפל בנושא האמנה להגבלת השימוש בנשק בימי קיימות במחמד שתי גישות ביחס לתגובת ארהב למידע על השימוש שעשתה עיראק בנשק בימי (הדיוס לויכוח ראה בדוח זרנגר 259 מ-14/9). לדבריו המזכיר והבית הלבן נוטים לתמוך באימוץ גישה נוקשה כלפי עיראק (הערה: הריסון מחייב אימוץ גישה אמריקאית תקיפה).

3. ביחס ללוב מוועים לכן שמעבר למרסום הצהרות היכולת בשלב שני לתרגמן למעשים מוגבלת. לא כך במקרה של עיראק. אלא שבאן לדבריו מהווה ה-NEA גורם מרסן.

4. לשאלתי לגבי המידע על הושטת סיוע מצד בריהם והמזאר ללוב בבניית תשתית לייצור נשק בימי השיב כי לא ראה חומר שמצביע על מעורבותן. לעומת זאת בידס ראיות המצביעות על מעורבות רפגיות ויפניות. לדבריו אי פרסום מעורבות יפן נבעה מרצונם לנסות ולהפסיק הסיוע ב'וינלומטיה שקטה'.

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

10/10/50

מושרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר


5. רלש ט/שהח רויטהז (בבגישת היכרות) עמו העלתי
הנושא הנזכר גם כן לטיוע היפני לרוב. הוסיף כי בממשל
שוקלים נקיטת צעדים בתאום עם מספר מדינות מערביות.
רמז כי במידה ושיחותיהם עם היפנים לא ישרו פרי יסקרו
ברטום הצהרה שעשויה להביא ללחץ דעת הקהל ביפן על
משלתו להפסיק שתהפ' עם לוב.

6. הריסון לסנרט טבור כי גם הממשל הוא (יהיה אשר יהא)
יאמץ קו קשה יותר בנושא בייצור והשימוש בנשק כימי.
בש הוסיף נמנה על יוזמי הרעיון לאמנה שתאסור ייצור
נשק כימי. הצביע על הקשיים הכרוכים בניסוח האמנה
בעיקר בנושא האיומות. אם יחתם הסכם בינממשלות, צופה
לחץ אמריקאי על מדינות העולם השלישי לאמץ את האמנה.

שטיון

הו

תפ: שהח,רהמ,שהבט,מנכל,מאנכלר/מרנז,רס,אמן,ממו,בירן,מצפא,
ברנ,הזס,אסיה



חוזם: 9/621

אל: 236/רש

מ-: המשרד, תא: 140988/1244/זח/ר, טב: בל

תח: גט: משרדים

נד: 6

בלמס/רגיל

אל: מר בנחם דרור-הציר הכלכלי - רש

מאת: עמוס רובין-יועץ כלכלי לראש הממשלה

נדנה החיבות העולה ממברקן מ-9 בספטמבר, אני מזכיר לך שתי נקודות:

1. ע"י כוח החוק הבורסה היא לפגישה בחומש ההתאגדות בארץ - 'COUNTRY' הנהגות מה-GSP. על אזורי ושי"ע לא הוחל עד כה החוק הישראלי והם אינם בינתיים חלק אינטגרלי ממדינת ישראל הזוכה להנות מה-GSP. (נקודה זו הועלתה גם בדיון במשרד החוץ).

2. באשר לעבודה בתוך ישראל - נהגנו בליברליות שקשה למצוא לה מקבילה במדינות המערב הדמוקרטיות. עובדים מהשטחים וזכים באופן מעשי לגישה חופשית לעבודה במשק הישראלי. מתוך היענות להביעות איגודים מקצועיים בישראל הונהג הסדר התשלום המסודר, כולל ניכוי מהשכר עבור תנאים סוציאליים (על מנת להפחית העלות למעסיק ולמנוע תחרות לא הוגנת בנגד העובדים הישראליים). גם הסדר זה אינו נכפה ע"י השלטונות ובתנאים מהעובדים אינם מאורגנים.

עמוס רובין

יא

צש

משרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

תק: שחח, דהחח, מנכ"ל, ממנכ"ל, מינרבי, נלכליתב', ליאור, מזתים, בירן,
מצמא, @רובין/רוהמ

דחילות:	שגרירות ישראל/ווישינגטון	ק"י 2
סוג:		מתוך: 8
תאריך וזמן חבירה:		אל:
כס' מברק:		דע:
המסרד: 265		כאת:
294		

שאלת אואנס השיב מרפי כי אינו ערפה דוזמה או גישה חדשה לאחר הבחירות.

מנגרסטן אואנס - ג'ויט דברי חמנכ"ל תמיר בווישינגטון שאמר שאין מקום לדבר על החלפת אש"פ אלא על שינויו. שאל האם מרפי מסכים לקביעה זו ומח ניתן לעשות לשינויו של אש"פ וקבלתו את שלושת התנאים האמריקאים.

מרפי - עליהם לקבל החלטות ובזה עסוקים בחודשים האחרונים. הם ניצבים בפני שני אתגרים כשוליים: ההתקוממות בשטחים וחודעת חוטיין מירולי על התנתקותו מהגדמ"ע. זהו האתגר המוליטי הגדול ביותר בפניו ניצב אש"פ אי פעם, אי אפשר לחזור מראש ואין זה מתפקידו לזעזע להם, אך יתכן שלא יגיעו לכלל החלטות במל"פ. בכל מקרה המנכ"ל תמיר הבהיר שלאש"פ עדיין דרך ארוכה עד שניתן יהיה לשאת ולתת עמו.

לגבי דיאלוג אש"פ - אמריקאי, חזר מרפי על מחויבותם מאז 1975 ועל כן כי לא יחול בה כל שינוי גם בממשל הבא.

מנגרסטן אואנס - האם התנתקות חוטיין מהשטחים לא משנה את הדינמיקה של יוזמת שולץ שנשענה על ירדן כשחקן עיקרי בגדמ"ע.

מרפי - ירדן לא התנתקה מתהליך השלום, היא התנתקה אומינסטרטיבית עד גבול מסויים. ציין נושא הדיונים, משכורות לעובדים וכו'. ירדן הפסיקה לנסות לשאת ולתת בשם הפלסטינים. זה אמנם שינה דינמיקה חמיידית אך לא את דאגת המלך, האינטרס והציפיות שלו להיות מעורב באופן מלא בתהליך. כאשר מרפי שאל המלך לגבי משלחת ירדנית-פלסטינית, השיב המלך כי יסכים לכך אם יתבקש ע"י הפלסטינים.

לארי סמית - כיצד הכרזה על הקמת מדינה עצמאית תוך הסתמכות על החלטה 181 תשפיע על כל התהליך. והאם מחט"ד מקבלת העובדה שהחלטה 181 מקנה לגיטימיות לאש"פ למדינה עצמאית.

מרפי - איננו חושבים שהחלטה 181 לאחר 41 שנה מקנה לאש"פ זכות למדינה. לא חיתה שם מדינה ולא מדובר בשאלת הכרה במדינה רק ע"ס האפשרות שאש"פ אולי יחליט על כך.

תפוצה:

דתיקות:	שגרירות ישראל/וויינגטון	דף: 3
סוג:	טופס פרוק	כתוב: 8
תאריך וזמן חבור:		אל:
כס' פרוק:		דע:
הפסול:		כאן:
265		
294		

אימוץ 181 לא מספיק עבורנו, אלה רק מילים רפות. חזר על שלושת התנאים האמריקניים. קבלת החלטה 181 על אש"פ משקפת התמודדות האירגון עם תנאים משתנים-התקוממות, הוועת חוסיין וחלופ השנים - עבור תושבי השטחים שלא הרווחו מאומה ממנהיגותו של אש"פ.

לארי סמית - אם המל"פ יחליט על אימוץ 181 וחכרזה על הקמת מדינה מה תהיה התגובה וכיצד ישפיע על התהליך.

מרפי - אש"פ יכול לבחור במספר דרכים:

(1) הכרזה על מדינה עצמאית

(2) הקמת ממשלה זמנית.

(3) הכרזה עצמאות ללא הגדרת גבולות.

התגובה בעולם תלויה בדרך שבה יבחרו להכריז על מדינה עצמאית. הם יזכו כמעט אוטומטית לתמיכת העולם הערבי ומרבית מדינות העולם השלישי (למעלה מ-100 מדינות) שהכירו כבר באש"פ. זה עלול לסבך את המשך התהליך אולם יש להמתין ולראות שכן המל"פ עשוי להסתייג ברטוריקה בלבד ללא כל הפרזה שחיא.

לארי סמית - האם קיימת אפשרות שכתוצאה מחזקתקוממות בשטחים תקום מנהיגות מקומית מתונה שתזנה את אש"פ, או שתנגד לפחד שמשליט אש"פ ותחל לשאת ולתת.

מרפי - אש"פ עדיין מיוצג את רגשי הזכות (IDENTITY) של המקומיים. קשה להניח שיזנחו את אש"פ ולא רק מטעמי פחד. אין זה מצויאותי.

המצב בשטחים ומדיניות ישראל.

המילטון, היה היחיד ששאל שאלות בנושא.

מנגרסטון המילטון - בזק לקבל הערכת מצב כללית על ההתקוממות, מגמות חדשות, רמת האלימות האם בירידה וכו'.

תפוצה:

דף: 4	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	יחידות:
כתובת: 8		סוג:
אל:		תאריך וזמן חבירה:
דע:		כס' פרוק:
כאח:		הפסיד:
		294 265

דפי - הסטטיסטיקות של חתונות מצביות על כך שלא חל שינוי ברמת האלימות לעומת דצמבר -
ינואר '87 (30 חתונות לחודש) אולם האינטנסיביות ירדה מאחר ואין מתקיימות כבר הפגנות
גדולות כבחודשים הראשונים. חבירה העיקרית נותרה והיא-פוליטית וזו טרם נפתרה.

חמילטון - ציטט דברי מרפי שאמר שנסיונות ישראל לסיים ההתקוממות היו בלתי יעילים, מה
לפיכך חשירו ?

מרפי - תהליך שלום מומשך בו ירגישו הפלסטינים שזכויותיהם והשותפותם יובטחו. בחורשיים
האחרונים לא היה להם בסיס לאמון כזה. צינורות וחקירות בין המנהל האזרחי והפלסטינים
הלכו והצטקו ומעצרים חסכו ושובה מהירה לקולות מחאה או אי הסכמה.

חמילטון - ישראל אימצה מדיניות של " Shoot To Wound " והחלה בשמוש בכדורי
פלסטיק. האם ארה"ב חגיבה על כך.

מרפי - הבחרנו כי איננו מסכימים לכך ולשמוש בכדורי פלסטיק.

חמילטון - מהי מדיניות ישראל לגבי שמוש בכוח ע"י המתנחלים ?

מרפי - המדיניות הפסיבית היא שיש להם (למתנחלים) זכות להגנה עצמית - עם זאת הממשלה
באמצעות צה"ל (actively discourage) מנסים למנוע מהם יצירת אירועים, סיורים עצמאיים
או מסעי עונשין. כלומר אין להם הזכות לפעול כעצמם, עם זאת יש כוונתם שפועלים מפעם לפעם.

חמילטון - ארה"ב גינתה מדיניות הגירוש - מהו מספר המגורשים.

מרפי - חשנה רשומים 25.60 -ממתינים לחלטת בג"צ. לשאלת חמילטון, ארה"ב לא הצליחה
למנוע הגירוש.

חמילטון - מהי חשפעת המדיניות של הוצאת כל הועדות העממיות מחוץ לחוק.

תפוצה:

ד"ר: 5	שגרירות ישראל/וושינגטון	דחילות:
מתוך: 8	טופס פרוק	סוג:
אל:		תאריך וזמן מעביר:
דצ:		כס' פרוק:
כאח:		הפסד: 265
		294

מרפי - ישראל עשתה כן טאחר ורואה בהם מכשירים ביו"ש, ואין בכונתה לאפשר לאש"פ למלא חלל שנוצר בעקבות חתנתקות חוסיין.

המילטון - מספר בתי"ס בעזה נפתחו אולם ביו"ש בתי"ס סגורים ?

מרפי - חבטות לבדוק. אולם חוסיין כי להבנתו גם ביו"ש וגם בעזה נסגרו בתי הספר ולא נקבע מועד לפתיחתם.

המילטון - ישראל נוקטת במדיניות של עונש קולקטיבי כדי לשלוט במצב, כמו למשל עוצרים נרחבים ומניעת כספים משירות מוצריהם.

מרפי - ישראל נענתה בנושא חשיון של תוצרת חקלאית במקרים פרטיים או כפרים מסויימים. למרות כל המאמצים של עוצר, סגירת ערים, בתי"ס וכו' ההתקוממות נמשכת, כלומר, מדיניות העונש הקולקטיבי, נגדו מחיכו, לא השיגה יעדה.

המילטון - כמה פלסטינים נתונים עתה במעצר מנהלי.

מרפי - 2,400.

המילטון - האם היו ביניהם ששחררו.

מרפי - היתה רוטציה מסויימת אך המספר בחוזשים האחרונים נע בין 2,500 - 2,400 מתוך סה"כ של 5,000 עצורים.

המילטון - מה חשפתה הכלכלית של הודעת חוסיין על השטחים.

מרפי - מאז ההתקוממות יש ירידה של 25% ב-GDP ביו"ש. יו"ש ועזה סבלו יותר מישראל שג"כ ניזקה כלכלית. יש ירידה משמעותית בזרימת הכספים מירדן אולם המלך מנסה לפתור זאת ע"י קיפול אינדוסטריאלי יותר.

תפוצה:

דחילו:	שגרירות ישראל/ווישינגטון	קרי: 6
סוג:	טופס מבוק	כתוב: 8
תאריך וזמן חבירה:		אל:
כס' מבוק:		דע:
הפסיד:		כאח:
294 265		

לבקשת המילטון הבטיח מרפי לבדוק בכמה ירדה תמיכת ירון ליו"ש בשנתיים האחרונות.

איראן ובני הערובה

המילטון - לאור כתבות ושמועות בתקשורת האם חממל או גורם כלשהו מטעמו עמדו בקשר ישיר או עקיף עם האיראנים לגבי שחרור בני הערובה.

מרפי - המסר היחיד שלנו הועבר לאיראן באמצעות שוויצריה המיועצת אותנו בטהרן וממשלות נוספות ידידותיות לאיראן שהחזקת בני הערובה מהווה מכשול לטיפול היחסים עם ארה"ב ולא יחיו כל DEALS שהם.

ממאיראן אישרה לארה"ב שאיננה מעוניינת לדון עם אזרחים וגושים פרטיים בלתי מוסמכים. לשאלת המילטון חשב מרפי כי קיימים 4 תנאים לטיפול מהותי של היחסים עם איראן : -
(1) סיום המלחמה (2) הכרזה על הפסקת תמיכה בטרור בינ"ל, (3) שחרור בני הערובה, (4) הפסקת הפחדת מדינות הטפריץ.

המילטון - האם אלה תנאים לביטול הקפאת הנכסים האיראניים.

מרפי - לא. נושא זה נמצא בטו"מ בכיח"ד כהאג ב- 3-4 חשנים האחרונות.

המילטון - האם איראן עדיין הכתובה לבני הערובה.

מרפי - כן. אמנם לא בלחיצת כפתור אך יש להם חשפעה על הפלגים הלבנוניים השונים.

המילטון - היו דיווחים כאילו חלק מבני הערובה האמריקניים נמצאים בידי קבוצות פלסטיניות.

מרפי - איז לנו סיבה להאמין לכך. אנו חושבים שהם נמצאים בידי קבוצות לבנוניות וקשורות לחיזבאללה שלהן קשרים עם איראן.

תפוצה:

דחילות:	שגרירות ישראל/וויטינגטון	קרי: 7
סוג:	טופס פרוק	כתוב: 8
תאריך וזמן תעבור:		אל:
כס' פרוק:		דע:
הפסד:		פאת:
294 265		

הנחיות על עירוק

מרפי אישר במתח דבריו, לשאלת המילטון, כי עירוק אכן עשתה שמוש בנשק כימי נגד איראן ונגד חכורדים.

כל חברי הקונגרס ששאלו את מרפי בנדרון לחצו עליו וניסו לקבל תשובה, האט מחמד תמליץ לנשיא להטיל וטו על הצעת החוק בנושא הסנקציות.

מרפי התחמק מלתת תשובה מוחלטת לגבי החצעה המתוקנת בטענה שטרם ראה את נוסח החקיקה הסופי עס כי הודה כי מחמ"ד התנגדה לשני הנוסחים הקודמים של הסנאט ובית הנבחרים.

חזר מספר פעמים על עמדת ארה"ב לגבי שמוש בנשק כימי והוסיף לגבי עירוק כי שולץ נפגש בניו יורק עם שח"ח עירוק ושוב קיבל הבטחות לאי שמוש בנשק כימי בעתיד בפניו ומחוץ לעירוק. חברי הקונגרס התריעו בפני מרפי שמסר כזה (של אי העגשת עירוק) מחממשל ותקבל באופן מוטעה באיזור ויפרש לא נכון את עמדת ארה"ב.

מל לויין התייחס במיוחד לבקורות הרבה שהושמעו במדינות הערביות נגד חוק הסנקציות ולהאשמת עירוק את החקיקה כ"מערכה ישראלית-אמריקנית להסחת תשומת חלב מחאינת יפדח".

מרפי שלל זאת על חסף באומרו כי זו הערה גרוטסקית ומגוחכת שאיננה ראויה לתגובה. לנטוט והמילטון ניסו לתקוף הבעיה ע"י האשמת עירוק בהפרת ההסכם לשמוש אזרחי בלבד בטטוקי בל אמריקנים וציטט עדויות כאילו נעשה שמוש צבאי בהם (להטוט גנרלים ואנשי צבא). מרפי דחה ההאשמה ואמר כי לא מצאו בכך כל חפרון.

נשק כימי

- מרפי נשאל על מדיניות בעלות יכולת ייצור נשק כימי. הזכיר את עירוק, איראן טוריה מצרים ולוב הנמצאות על סף יכולת ייצור, (הזכיר במיוחד גן חידל וציאניד). בחתימתו לישראל אמר, כי ישראל למדה חביעה אף איננו יכול לדבר על יכולתה.

- בנוסף ללוב ביקש לנטוט פרטים. מרפי חשיב כי לוב נמצאת על סף יכולת ייצור נשק כימי וכי ישנם דיווחים על שמוש אשתקד נגד כוחות צ'אד.

תפוצה:

דמיפות:	שגרירות ישראל/וויינגטון טופס פרוק	ק"י: 8
סוג:		כתוב: 8
תאריך וזמן תעבור:		אל:
כס' פרוק:		דע:
הפסד:		כאח:
294 265		

לשאלת לנטוס כי לא מדובר בפיתוח מקומי בלעדי אלא בהסתייעות ביפן והאט ארה"ב
פנתה ליפן, חשיב מרפי כי חנושא אכן הועלה עם חיפנים.
נושאים נוספים שעלו בעדות היו המהומות באלג'יריה, (לנטוס חבע לדעת האס ארה"ב מחתה
בפני אלג'יריה על דרך דיכוי המחומות כפי שהיא מטהרת לעשות כלפי ממשלות אחרות /)
מסק הבוררות בטאבה, 45TR-G.S.P, הפצת טילים - מויכיות ארה"ב, הברחת טכנולוגיה
ע"י מצרים.
התמליל המלא המשתרע על 50 עמוד ישלח כאמור בדיפ' הקרוב.

וויינגטון
קונגרס.

תפוצה:

10/10

8

דף: 1	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	דתיקות: סדר
כתוב: 5		סוג: סדר
אל: סוכן צ"א מנהל - מק"ח רמ"ן - ניו-יורק	תאריך וזמן העבר: 14 1730 אוקטובר 88	כס' פרוק: 274
דע:	הפסד: ביטחון: 61	כאח: קישור לקונגרס

קונגרס: ההשלכות הכספיות של החקיקה לשנת 1989

1. על-פי רוב הושגו יעדינו המדיניים והכספיים במחזור החקיקתי לקראת השנה התקציבית האמריקאית 1989, מחזור שמסתיים בימים אלה (דו"חות מסכמים יושברו בנפרד). כאשר להשלכות הכספיות, מעבר לסיוע (האזרחי וחצאי) של שלושה ביליון דולר, ניתן להצביע על חוספות למטרות ולבופים רשמיים ורשמיים למחצה כארבע ובחור"ל, בסת"א 1989, המסתכמת בכדי 47 ביליון דולר. יתר על כן, בחקיקה שהתקבלה כבר הוקצבו יותר מ-90 מיליון דולר בתחום ה-Fair Pricing (ראו נא בהמשך).
2. האספה מורכבת מאלמנטים שונים ולצורך העניין אפשר למינם, יחד עם הסיוע עצמו, לשלוש קטגוריות:
 - א. "הכנסות ישירות" - כלומר כספים שיועברו ישירות לישראל או יושקעו בישראל על-דדי מטאדה"ב.
 - ב. "הכנסות עקיפות" - כלומר כספים שישראל תהיה חמושה בארה"ב או במקומות אחרים בחו"ל.
 - ג. "חסכוניות" - כלומר הסדרים מיוחדים שיקלו על כספים מסוימים או יוזילו עלויות.

2 1 1 3 2
1031 חין 1031 1031 1031

1/...

תפוצה: 36

דחילויות:	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון	דף: 2
סוג:		מסמך: 5
תאריך ויפן העבר:	א"ה	אל:
כט' פברואר:		דע:
המסמך: 274		כאח:
61/282		

כ. לשם החלוקה חנ"ל, מסתמנת חסונה כלהלן:

החוק והסעיף	הכנסות ישירות	הכנסות עקיפות	חסכונות
א. חוק הסיוע			
1. סיוע אזרחי	1,200 מיליון		
2. סיוע צבאי	400	1,400	
3. ריבית על הסיוע האזרחי	56		
4. הוצאת קורסי הדרכה צבאיים			2.5-3
5. מיחזור חובות ת-FMS			160
6. קליטת עולים בישראל	28		
7. תיקון כנס (מס"ב)	5		
8. תיקון רווקטמו (שח"ס אזרחי)	5		
9. גת סער ובתי חולים			
אסרוקאים בחו"ל	כ-7		
10. סיוע ליו"ש		15	
	1,691	1,425	162.5 - 179.5

תפוצה:

דף: 3	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פבוק	לחיצות:
רמון: 5		סוג:
אל:	אלה של	תאריך וזמן תעוד:
דע:		כס' פבוק:
		הפסד:
כאח:		61 / 244 / 274

חשבוניות

הכנסות פקיטות

הכנסות זעירות

החוק והסעיף

ב. חוק כספי סחמ"ד

תחנת
"קול אמריקה"
(לפי הבקשה
בתקציב)

22 *

9-כ

24-כ

ג. חוק תקציב

הפניגון

10

67

1. פרויקט החק
ופרויקט SDI

2. פוס-אדל -

- הכשן חבדוקות

8.3 - רכש והתחלת

3. מופס משותף 8-כ

4. תכנית בדיקת

מערכות נשק

זרות

5. מערכת ראדית

לילח למסוק

הצפס

12

6. מרגמות 120 מ"מ

- כלים

5

33.5 - תחמושת

← (חשור בעמוד הבא)

8/...

תפוצה:

דתיפות:	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	דף: 4
טוב:		מתן: 5
תאריך ודפן הנבדק:	לדל	אל:
כס' פרוק:		דע:
הפסד:		פאת:
274 / 282 / 10		

(המשך הטבלה מעמוד קודם) ←

החוק והסעיף הכנסות ישירות הכנסות עקיפות הסכומות

ד. חוק הביטוח
העבאי

11

161.8 =

29

132.8

4. לגבי הסכום הנ"ל לשנת 1989 יש לציון:

- התעשייה הצבאית בארץ תזכה להזמנות ישירות ובלתי ישירות מהפנטגון (מזל"טים, רדיו סינכוארס ועוד ועוד). שתתכנסנה בסך לא מבוטל (סטבע ה נדים הסכום המדויק לא ידוע בשלב זה, מה עוד שקשה מאד, אם לא בלתי אפשרי, לדייק - בגלל מורכבות החסדרים, הכוללים בין היתר נספי Off-set בסך של 150 מיליון דולר).
- החומים חדשים שתוקצבו להם כספים אך טרם נבדקו על-דדיונו, כגון פרויקט ה-Hypervelocity Missile, שבמידה נחתום על חוזה עם אירצון ה-SDI /או הצנא, יש בו להטכנא ב-25 מיליון דולר, אם לא יותר.

דחיות:	סגירות ישראל/וויינגטון טופס פרוק	קד: 5
סוג:		סנק: 5
תאריך וזמן תעבור:	↓	אל:
כס' פרוק:		דע:
הפסיד:		כאמ:
61 / 282 / 24		

ג. הקצבות נוספות שנוגעות לנו, אך אינן בכנסות לשלוש הקטגוריות הנ"ל.
 כגון 24.38 מיליון דולר עבור השתתפות ארה"ב בכפ"ר סיני, השתתפות
 ארה"ב בתקציב סט"ח, ונגזפי"ל וכדומה. 50 מיליון דולר לקניית
 מנרשים לשגארה"ב בתל-אביב והקונסוליה הכללית בירושלים, ועוד.

5. בחקיקה לשנ"א 1989 יש כבר הקצבות מסעות/זרות בתחום ה-Fair Pricing שיצאו לפועל דק בשנ"א 1990. כלהלן:

ביטול ההוצאות החז-פעמיות (Non Recurring) 70 מיליון
 ביטול דמי הניהול על ה-FMS 20
 הוצלת קורסי הדרכה הקשורים להזמנות מסוגי ה-F-16 כ-2.5

92.5 מיליון

✓ סגור
למדן

תפוצה:

דחילות: מידי	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	דף: 1
סוג: גלוי		כתוב: 2
תאריך יזמן חשוד: 14 ספט' 1988 11:00	17	אל: לשכת רה"מ
כס' פרוק: הפסד:		דע: מצפ"א הסברה/מידע
227		פאת: ק.קישור לקונגרס, וושינגטון

תיסר ערורי

1. רצ"ב מכתב בנדון לרה"מ מאת הסנטור ג'ון צ'ייפי (המקור בדי"פ).
נשמח להעביר תשובת רה"מ לידי הסנטור עם קבלתו.

2. כידוע, ערורי הינו הנושא של מערכה מתוזמרת למענו - ושהב"ט כבר
חשיב ישירות לפנייה דומה שקבל מהסנטור קלייבורן פל.

3. מכתב צ'ייפי הוזכר בהתכתבות בין עודד בן-חיים כאן לבין מח' החסברה
במשה"ח.

ו.ס.ל.ל.ל.
ל מ ד ז

2 1 2 1 1 3 2
שהיה היה גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי
למלא גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי גלוי

תפוצה: 23

JOHN H. CHAFEE
RHODE ISLAND

CHAIRMAN, REPUBLICAN
CONFERENCE

FINANCE COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT
AND PUBLIC WORKS

COMMITTEE ON BANKING
HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

SPECIAL COMMITTEE
ON AGING

United States Senate

2/2

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

WASHINGTON, DC 20510
(202) 224-2921

PROVIDENCE OFFICE:

301 JOHN O. PASTORE

FEDERAL BUILDING

KENNEDY PLAZA

PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

(401) 528-5294

TOLL FREE NUMBER

IN RHODE ISLAND

1-800-882-5188

227

August 25, 1988

Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir
c/o The Embassy of Israel
3514 International Drive, NW
Washington, DC 20008

Dear Minister Shamir:

I am writing in behalf of Dr. Tayseer Aruri, a physicist at Bir Zeit University in the occupied West Bank. Dr. Aruri's case has come to my attention through his cousin, Naseer Aruri, a constituent of mine and an esteemed professor of Political Science at Southeastern Massachusetts University in the United States.

Tayseer Aruri was arrested by Israeli security forces on August 8, 1988 and is presently awaiting deportation in Juneid Prison in Nablus. It is my understanding that Dr. Aruri has yet to be interrogated, formally charged, or tried by any court.

In the meantime, I understand there are important humanitarian concerns about Dr. Aruri's health which need to be addressed immediately. I am told he suffers from a number of serious medical conditions which may be exacerbated by the continued stress and confinement of prison. It is essential that he receive a steady supply of medicine while in prison.

I ask that Dr. Aruri's case be closely reconsidered. If I can provide any additional information, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

John H. Chafee

John H. Chafee
United States Senator

AA

7/2

מברק צפון יוצא אל: (1)

(2 /

אל:	שנהר - ניו-יורק	דרגת דחיפות	מספר (1)
לידיעת:	שירן-ווישניגטון	מספר (2)	מספר
מ א ת:	כרטוב - נתיב	תאריך ושעת העבר:	88141100
סימן המחבר	531	בהמשך לשלי:	בהשגחה לשלי:

סימני בטחון.....מורה.....

עפ"י דיווח טאס מיום 2.9.88 הוחלט לשחרר למרסוס ספרות שהיתה אסורה עד כה, וזאת למעט 500 פרסומים בנושאים הבאים: ציונות, אנטישמיות, פורנוגרפיה וחומר המסית לשנאה אתנית.

כריכת הציונות בנושא אסור לפרסום ביחד עם חומר על שנאה אתנית ופורנוגרפיה ראויים לגינוי מידי, בעיקר ע"י ארגונים יהודיים וע"י שני המועמדים המתחרים לנשיאות.

אנא קח דברים מיד עם מוריס וראשי בני ברית ו-ADL ואולי גם ארגונים אחרים, ליצירת אוירה בתוך שני המחנות לקראת הצהרת גינוי של המתחרים לנשיאות, אנלוגית שאסור לנו לעבור לסדר היום כשכורכים.

הציונות בחומר המסית לשנאה אתנית. דבר זה מצביע שיש גבולות גם לגלסנוסט בכל הנוגע לישראל וליהודים.

1509 - 20

33 217 188
~~188~~

2/2

Q But you don't see it as an encouraging sign?

MR. REDMAN: I'm not trying to read encouraging, or discouraging, or any other adjective into the process. I'm simply reiterating that we continue to do what we can. Our objective remains the same, and we'll continue on that course.

Q Well, to reach and achieve your objective, is anyone from the United States going to help the Lebanese reach an accord with the Syrians on their president, or anybody going there to help them?

MR. REDMAN: We have been continually involved in this process of working with the Lebanese, with the Syrians, with others, on the question of how to bring about early presidential elections. So there's nothing -- I don't see that your question implies anything new. We have been doing that, and we will continue to do that.

Q Well, in light of the recent development -- I mean with the empowering of the Patriarch to deal with the issue, is anybody else going from here to assist him in that endeavor with the Syrians?

MR. REDMAN: I don't know of anybody that would fit the category of your question. But I'd never want to rule that out. It's obviously -- it's an alternative.

Q -- There is a report from Egypt indicating that Moscow will provide Jordan with MiG-29s, worth \$80 million. Is this a setback for US efforts to engage Jordan in the Middle East process, peace process?

MR. REDMAN: First, I don't have any comment on the foundation of your story. You'll have to talk to the parties involved for those kind of answers. Secondly, regardless of the foundation of the story, I don't see that this represents anything at all in terms of the peace process.

Q Well, the story in a magazine published in Cairo says -- indicates that this -- the Soviet Union benefitted from the stand of the United States which did not respond to Jordan's demand and, you know, you are directly involved in this story. So, that's why I'm asking for your comment if this sale of MiGs to Jordan could constitute a setback for your efforts to be involving Jordan in the peace process.

MR. REDMAN: As I say, I don't see the relationship to the peace process.
DIIIDD



- ש מ ר -

כח' תשרי תשמ"ח
9 באוקטובר 1988

3486

סלחתי

אל : אליה טעל, משרד חוץ

מאת : ס/מנהל מצפ"א

הנדון: המרוץ לסנט האמריקני

בעוד מערכת הבחירות לנשיאות ארה"ב ממשיכה לצבור תאוצה, ראוי להקדיש תשומת לב גם למספר התמודדויות מעניינות למקומות בסנט. חלק מן המתמודדים הן דמויות בולטות וחשובות בסנט וחשיבותם באשר לנושאים הנוגעים לישראל לא מוטלת בספק.

קליפורניה : התמודדות צמודה יחסית בין סנטור פיט וילסון (ר) לסגן המושל ליאו מקרטי. וילסון עדיין נחשב כבעל סיכויים טובים יותר.

פילדלפיה : על כסאו המתפנה של סנטור לוטון צ'יילס יתמודד המורשה קוני מק (ר) מול המנצח בבחירות המוקדמות בין המורשה מק-קיי לנציב הביטוח בפלורידה ביל גונטר. למק רקורד מצוין בנושא ישראל, בקר בארץ בדצמבר 1987. גם כאן צופים למרוץ צמוד.

מינסוטה : סנטור דייויד דירנברגר (ר) יתחרה מול התובע הכללי "סקיפ" המפרי. לשניים רקורד של תמיכה בישראל. הפער במרוץ הולך ומצטמצם אולם דירנברגר עדיין נראה כפייבוריט.

מיסיסיפי : סנטור ג'ון סטניס (ד) פורש מהסנט לאחר יותר מ-40 שנה. במה שנחשב לאחד מן ההתמודדויות הצמודות בשנה זו, מתמודדים המורשה הדמוקרטי דאודי והרפובליקני טרנט לוט, שאיננו מאוהדינו.

נברסקה : מרוץ צמוד יחסית בין סנטור דייויד קרנס (ר) לבין המושל לשעבר בוב קיי, אישיות פופולרית במדינתו. פופולריות זו מחד למול נטיה רפובליקנית מסורתית בנברסקה תבטיח כנראה מרוץ צמוד.

נבדה : המושל הדמוקרטי ריצ'רד בריאן מוביל במשאלים מול הסנטור הכט (יהודי). לאחרון דימוי של סנטור כושל, מה שבודאי לא יסייע בידו.



- 2 -

ניו-ג'רסי : מרוץ צמוד יחסית בין סנטור פרנק לאוטנברג (ד) לבין גנרל (מיל) פיטר דוקינס, שבקר בארץ לפני שנה. בשלב זה מוביל לאוטנברג במשאלים בשיעור של 52:30 אך הפער מצטמצם.

אוהיו : יתרון לסנטור מצנבאום (ד) על יריבו, ר"ע קליבלנד ווינוביץ' . גם מרוץ זה יהא צמוד.

רוד איילנד : מרוץ צמוד בין סנטור ג'ון צ'ייפי (ר) לסגן המושל ליכט (יהודי). מערכת הבחירות מלווה בהשמצות חריפות; לפי פרסום ב"ווישינגטון פוסט", איפאק "שמה על הכוונת" את סנטור ג'ון צ'ייפי ותומכת מאסיבית בליכט. איפאק מצדו מכחיש נמרצות. בשלב זה לצ'ייפי יתרון קל.

ורמונט : סנטור בוב סטפורד פורש לאחר 16 שנים. על כסאו המתפנה מתמודדים עו"ד ביל גריי (ד) והמורשה הרפובליקני ג'פורדס. האחרון נראה פייבוריטי בשלב זה.

ווישינגטון : עם פרישתו של סנטור אבנס, חזר לזירה הסנטור לשעבר סלייד גורטון (ר), שכהן עד לפני שנתיים בסנט, עם רקוד טוב בנושאי ישראל. מולו יתמודד המורשה מייק לאורי. המרוץ צמוד.

ויסקונסין : לאחר 30 שנה פורש הסנטור הבכיר פרוקסמאיר. במה שנראה כרגע כהתמודדות שקולה ביותר, מתחרים הרברט קול איש עסקים ממילווקי מול סנטורית מדינתית סוזן אנגלייטר (ר), אשר טרחה לבקר בישראל בתחילת השנה.

מספר מדינות קרוב לודאי לא יספקו הפתעות ושינויים רבים כאשר לסנטורים המתמודדים צפויה מערכת בחירות קלה: דניס דה-קונסיני מאריזונה, ספרק מצונגה מהוואי, ריצ'רד לוגר מאינדיאנה, אד קנדי ממסצ'וסטס, ג'ורג' מיטשל ממין, פול סרבנס ממרילנד, דון ריגלה ממישיגן, ג'ון דנפורט ממיסורי, מלצ'ר ממונטנה, מויניהאן מניו-יורק, היינץ מפנסילבניה וג'יימס סאסר מטנסי.

המידע הכלול בסקירה זו הועבר לעיוננו ע"י אחד מן ה-PAC'S.

ב ב ר כ ה.

משה בר

שגרירות ישראל בוושינגטון
טופס מברק

דף 1. מתוך 2.
דחיפות: מיידי
סוג: גלוי

תאריך, זמן: 14.9.88
מספר המברק:

המשרד:

231

אלו מצפ"א
דע: לשכת רוה"מ

מאת: עתונות

יהודי ברה"מ

להלן הדוח ב"וושינגטון פוסט" על דברי מזכיר המדינה בנושא.

10/10
יוסי גל

Shultz Is Firm on Letting Jewish Emigres Go to U.S.

Forced Resettlement of Soviets in Israel Opposed

By John M. Goshko
Washington Post Staff Writer

Secretary of State George P. Shultz, in a step that could exacerbate a longtime sore spot in U.S.-Israeli relations, reaffirmed yesterday that the Reagan administration believes Jews allowed to leave the Soviet Union should be free to come to this country rather than be forced to resettle in Israel.

Testifying before the Senate Judiciary Committee, Shultz made clear that the administration is strongly opposed to a recent Israeli government decision that would try to force emigrating Soviet Jews to fly directly to Israel through Bucharest, Romania, rather than travel via Vienna where many elect to come to the United States.

"We feel that there is a fundamental principle involved in the human rights field,

זכויות יוצרים

SECRETARY OF STATE GEORGE SHULTZ
... "a fundamental principle involved"

88

1 3 2
חמ חמ חמ
3 איה 3 איה 3
1031 חין
2 1
חמ חמ חמ
2 1 1
6

2/2

231

namely the principle of freedom of choice," Shultz said. "And so a person who is allowed to emigrate from the Soviet Union should have a freedom of choice as to where they want to go If things are done that seem to go against freedom of choice, we can't be in favor of that."

He stopped short of saying outright that the United States believes Israel is trying to channel Soviet Jewish emigration to increase the population of the Jewish state and increase its ability to survive despite being surrounded by hostile Arab countries.

There are an estimated 3 million Jews in the Soviet Union, and thousands are thought to be interested in emigrating. About 250,000 left in the 1970s, but Soviet authorities sharply cut Jewish emigration after it reached a peak of 51,000 in 1979. The reduction was denounced in the West.

Under Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev, emigration has been allowed to rise. In 1987, 8,155 Jews were allowed to leave, and through August of this year, another 9,687.

"If they wish to go to Israel, I'm all for that," Shultz said. "And I think Israel wants them very much But you do have a fundamental principle of freedom of choice that we have to stand by. The Israelis are not against freedom of choice; they just want to rig the system a little bit."

At issue is a system that has caused friction between Washington and Jerusalem because, in almost every case, departing Jews have visas for entry to Israel. However, when they arrive in Vienna, their traditional point of entry into the West, they qualify as stateless persons under U.S. law and generally are eligible for immediate admission to this country.

In recent years, the overwhelming majority of emigres have opted to come here. Even among the few who don't want to come to the United States, many have cho-

sen to go to such countries as Canada or Australia where economic opportunities are greater and the threat of war less immediate than in Israel.

In hopes of changing that situation, the Israeli cabinet voted in June to seek the cooperation of the Soviet and Romanian governments to ensure that Jews who leave the Soviet Union with Israeli visas must go first to Israel. That would be done by requiring that they go to Bucharest where the Israeli government would take charge of them and fly them to Israel.

However, that procedure is potentially troublesome to U.S. authorities because under Israel's Law of the Return, Israeli citizenship is automatically conferred on the emigres when they set foot on Israeli soil.

As Israeli citizens, they no longer qualify as stateless persons under U.S. immigration law but must be admitted under the Israeli quota, which normally means a delay of several years.

The Israeli plan has been held up in part because the Netherlands, which has handled Israel's affairs in Moscow since the Soviets broke diplomatic ties with Israel after the 1967 Mideast War, has refused to cooperate.

In order to accommodate the use of Bucharest as a departure point and still hold open the opportunity for the Soviet Jews to come to the United States, the administration has been drafting tentative new regulations that would allow them to have refugee status even after their arrival in Israel.

Asked about that yesterday, Shultz said only that there "have been all sorts of suggestions about possible screening in Moscow, rather than after they leave Moscow." He added that care must be taken to handle the situation in ways that do not cause a resurgence of Soviet anti-Semitism and create difficulties for Jews who want to leave.

*

END

משרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

260

תאריך : 15.09.88

יוצא **

שמור

**

**

**

חוזם: 9,260

אל:ני/302

מ-:המשרד,תא:110988,זח:1520,דח:מ,טג:שמ

תח:ג:גט:ארבל

נד:ג

שמור/מיוזי

נאוים, ניו יורק

ביון.

המצב במזית - ועידה בינ"ל.

בהמשך לשלנו מהיום.

הכנס נא במסגרת השניה אחריו המליט:

THEY ARE UNACCEPTABLE TO ISRAEL

את המשפט הבא:

THE OBJECTION OF ISRAEL TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
PROPOSED IN RESOLUTION 42/209/A AND ITS PRECEDING
RESOLUTIONS, DOES NOT CONTRADICT ISRAEL'S DESIRE TO
CONDUCT DIRECT NEGOTIATIONS WITH ITS NEIGHBOURS WITHIN A
N
INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORK AGREEABLE TO THE SIDES INVOLVED IN
THOSE DIRECT NEGOTIATIONS'.

אגף ארבי'ל

ברנע

צש

נב

0000:0000
0000:0000
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000
0000

0000:0000

0000:0000
0000

0000:0000:0000:0000

0000:0000:0000

0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:

0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:

0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:
0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:

0000:0000
0000

מושרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

ת.פ: שחה, ממנכל, ממנכל, ברנע, ארבל 2, סיובל, משפט, ליאור, מזתים

5.000

1160674 *in situ* polymerization of 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-5-oxo-1,3-dioxane-5-carboxylic acid with 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-5-oxo-1,3-dioxane-5-carboxylic acid

DOI: 10.1002/eqe.2522

[illegible]



1011 J

09/830

משרד החוץ
ירושלים

SMTZ 2. PRT

סודי/מיידי
235. א.צ

וושנינגטון
שגריר, ציר, יועץ מדיני

שיחות ארמקוסט - וורונצוב על המז"ת (1-2 ספטמבר)

בפגישה עמי הקריא הציר האמריקני לנו הדיווח על השיחות בנושא המז"ת (ציין שהמדובר בחוזם לנציגויותיהם במדינות בנות בריתם המכסה מכלול השיחות האזוריות וייתכן ויקבלו בהמשך דו"ח מפורט יותר על המז"ת). בפרק על המז"ת השתתפו בצד הסובייטי גם פוליאקוב וטרטוב.

שני הצדדים הסכימו שהמצב במז"ת נזיל ו-VOLATILE וכי מהלך חוסין מציג בפני אש"ף אתגרים חדשים וקשים. הסובייטים טענו שיש מגמה של התמתנות באש"ף אך צריך לדאוג לגישה ישראלית חיובית כדי להבטיח תגובה אש"פית חיובית גם כן. הוסיפו שאש"ף שוקל מספר אלטרנטיבות ויטכם עמדתו בכנס המל"פ בספטמבר או אוקטובר - הקמת מדינה פלסטינית על בסיס החלטה 181 (ציין שהמברק מדבר על הקמה ולא על הכרזה), ממשלה גולה או זמנית והעברת הטריטוריה לנאמנות האו"ם, הסובייטים הוסיפו כי תוכן החלטת אש"ף חשוב יותר ממהירות קבלתה ולפיכך הם לוחצים על אש"ף ל-"MODERATION AND DELIBERATION".

ארמקוסט הגיב לתאור הסובייטי על הגישה אש"פית כי זהו תיאור מאוד היפוטיטי ולהערכת ארה"ב אינו מגיע לשורש הבעיה.

הסובייטים הציגו עמדה מזלזלת מעט (MILDLY DISPARAGING) לגבי יזמת שולץ, טענו שאינה עובדת ושאלו האם בדעת האמריקנים לתקנה. האמריקנים השיבו שאינם רואים צורך בתיקונים משמעותיים של היזמה או ביזמות חדשות בזמן הקרוב.

הסובייטים הבהירו שהם רוצים מאוד (EAGER) לעבוד יד ביד עם האמריקנים על תהליך השלום אך מאידך לא היו להם עדיין תשובות לשאלות האמריקניות (אף שפוליאקוב הבטיחן למרפי במפגש האחרון ביניהם). האמריקנים הגיבו שהם מעריכים את הדו-שיח עם הסובייטים ואף שלהם עצמם אחריות מיוחדת לתמוך בתהליך הרי בסופו של דבר עמדות הצדדים באזור הן הקובעות. הוסיפו כי אם ילווה מהלך חוסין בתגובה אש"פית בלתי מסיעת (UNHELPFUL) עלולה להיווצר נסיגה בתהליך המדיני ולכן חיוני שאש"ף ינקוט בצעד אמיץ במל"פ כמו קבלת 242, הכרה בישראל והכרזה על המנעות מטירור. עד כאן

בירן

8 בספטמבר 1988

2.1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

210/29 2/2

* * * * *

King Hussein's recent announcement notwithstanding, Jordan remains a crucial player in the Peace Process, both as an Eastern Strategic anchor for negotiations over the future of the West Bank, and as a facilitator of the rationale dialogue between Palestinians and the Israelis. There also seems to be a possibility of Palestinian flexibility, although we have seen nothing substantial this far, there are instant changes in long-held Palestinian positions. While we watch hopefully for a sign that a more realistic Palestinian agenda will eventually emerge, we must stick firmly to our long-established position on a dialogue with the P.L.O. . Finally the peace debate in the Israeli Parliament: the public opinion polls in Israel show that are open to serious debates with hero in the upcoming election as never before. The challenge for current and future Policy-makers is how to build on its hopeful signs, how to make the plans for the region based on the same kind of domestic consensus, activist policy , steadiness, and all of the decision-making that has underpinned our recent consensus in

other countries of the world in that we are so deeply involved. And at the heart of the American Peace-Process lies a rock-solid commitment to Israel's security of ballistic missile technology we are planning on continuing Israeli-American defense cooperation, reflected in our own joined effort to solve an anti-capital ballistic missile, by Defense Minister Rabin.

But as most of you in this group will appreciate, security today is not simply a function of military might. Israel can never be fully secure without peace with its neighbours, particularly peace with the Palestinians. As Secretary of State Shultz says: "Progress for Peace will require all parties to shed their illusions. Israel must see that security based on must allow for a fair and just accommodation for the Arabs. Continued occupation of the West Bank and Gaza is a dangerous solution that only condemns Israel to perpetual conflict with the Palestinian and Arab Nations. The Palestinians must recognize that throwing rocks are no substitute for realistic-political advance. Palestinians can fulfill their aspirations only by engaging directly with the Israelis.

[.....] The Arab Israeli conflict will not just fade away there is no risk-free road to peace .

210/29 2/2

רחיפות: מייד	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק טופס מברק	1
סוג בטחוני: סודי		2
תז"ח:	המסרד	א ל :
גר :		ד ע :
0 0193		נאו"ם :

אל : מנהל ארכב 2

דע : פר"ו

מאת : יששכרופ

פגישת כיון עם תת-מזכ"ל ריד (9/9)

1. הפגישת הנ"ל התקיימה בהשתתפות מחני והח"מ, מציגו איקמא ריטא (מקיסל) וסמל.
2. ריד סיפר שנפגש אתמול עם היועץ לבטחון לאומי של ארה"ב קוליו פנואל, והלה מסר שישנו כעיות וציניות לאחר מימו עבודתו של ארה"ב לאו"ם. לדבריו, אין נסיה מצד הממשל ללכת עתה לפני הבחירות לקונגרס על מנת לבקש הקצבות נוספות לאו"ם. עם זאת, נראה שלפני הופעתו של רייגן בעצרת ב-26/9, תעביר ארה"ב לאו"ם הסכום של 44 מליון דולר, כרי שריגן לא יבוא ביריית רייקות.
3. כן סיפר שלקח על עצמו, בשל שיקולי או"ם ושיקולי פנים אמריקניים, התפקיד למנוע הופעתו של ערפאת בעצרת האו"ם. אגב, הסכים עמנו שהופעתו של ערפאת עשויה להקשות מאד על תשלומי חובותיה של ארה"ב לאו"ם. סיפר שנפגש במשך שעה עם קדומי וציין בפניו שעדיף לכולם שערפאת לא יגיע לבניו יורק כדי ליטול חלק בדיוני העצרת. הציע לקדומי שיש גם תואם את האינטרס של אש"ף וכי עדיף לארגון במצב הנוכחי להמשיך ולהתייעץ בתוך עצמו. ציין שקדומי הבין היטב את מלוא ההשלכות של ביקורו של ערפאת בבניו יורק. ריד התחמק מלהיכנס לפרטי שיחתו עם קדומי, אך ציין שכתב למזכ"ל על מנת לדווח לו שביצע את תפקידו בהצלחה, קרי: ערפאת לא יגיע. אגב, ריד סיפר שזו הייתה שיחה עם קדומי כאשר האחרון היה "פיכה", דבר נדיר הואיל והוא ירוע כאלכוהוליסט.

אישור:

שם השולח:



מאריך:

2
מח
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

דח"פחות:	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק טופס מברק	2
סוג כסחוכי:		2
תו"ת:		א ל :
גר :		ד ע :
193		נחת :

4. חכור העירקי : לפי דבריו של ריד ואנשיו, הנושאים כגון חכור העירקי שלא נדונו בעצרת ה-42, יועברו בסכס נעילת העצרת (19/9) לסדר היום של העצרת ה-43, והדבר נעשה באורח שיגרת.
5. נשיאות העצרת : ריד ממשיך להיות סכור שבשל העקרון של רוטציה של מדינות קטנות ברבאדוס אכן תזכה בנשיאות העצרת וזאת למרות נסיונותיה של ארגנטינה.
6. קוריאנה : יתכן ויהיה מאבק בועדה הכללית סביב הכנסת הפריס לסדר היום של העצרת בעניין קוריאנה, אך בכל מקרה, הוחלט שהנושא לא יידון באמצע הוויכוח הכללי בשל התקדים.
7. סמינר ה-NGO על פלסטין : ריד ציין שלא היה מעוניין כלל בתפקיד ייצוג המזכ"ל בכינוס ה-NGO's על פלסטין, ובכלל לא מעוניין בשל שיקולים אישיים שלו להיות מעורב בנושא פלסטין. לרבריו הכינוסים מסוג זה הם כיזבוז כסף ובסך הכל עוד פורום בו נשמעים אותם הנואמים ואותם הסיעונים הנדושים. עם זאת, לדעתו הכינוס היה מאורגן בצורה מאד מקצועית ונוצח כולו על ידי אש"ף שיצא נכר מהסמינר.
8. סחרה המערבית בנפרד.

נאו"ם

אישור:

שם השולח: ג' יסשכרוף

9.9.88

תאריך:

13

31

דח"פוח: רגיל	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק טופס מברק	1: ר
סרג כטחוני: שמור		2: מתור
ת"ר:		א ל : המשרד
בר :		ד ע :
מאת : נאו"ם		

אל : ארכל 2
דע : מז"תים
מאת : יששכרוף

שיחה עם ליזה בטנהיים - מזכירות האו"ם משרדו של גולדינג

1. לכנון/דר"ל - ציינה שלאחרונה ניכרת רגיעה מתמשכת בדר"ל לעומת

שאר חלקי לכנון, ובמיוחד ביירות, שבה עניין הבחירות ממשיך לגרום למצב לא בחיר ובלתי יציב. לא היתה בידיה כל הערכה כיצד יתפתחו הבחירות לנשיאות. הזכירה שלאחרונה תרמה עירק לנוצרים סכום של כ-30 מליון דולר. אין חדש לגבי כני הערובה, אם כי ישנם סימנים חיוביים, שאולי תהיה התקדמות מסוימת בסוגיה זו. בשלב זה, לא הבחינה בכל שינוי לגבי פעולות איראן בלכנון עקב טיוטת מלחמת המפרץ, ואם כבר, חיזבאללה עושה רושם של שמירת פרופיל נמוך מזה שבועות אחדים. לגבי הנציג של המזכ"ל לשיקום ופיתוח לכנון (מכתבו של אריה טנא מה-26/8), הסבירה שהאיש התחיל להתארגן בבירות ויתכן כבוא הזמן שיבצע פרויקטים הומניטריים בדר"ל בסיוע לוגיסטי של יוניפי"ל כסידת הצורך. הדגישה שאין קשר למעשה בין מנדט הכוח לבין מנדט הנציג, וכי ש"פ, אם יהיה, יבוא לידי ביטוי במתן שירותי תחבורה או העמדת הקשרים של יוניפי"ל לנציג. הנציג משמש גם נציג UNDP בלכנון ועד כמה שידוע לה אין, בשלב זה, כל פרויקט מתוכנן לדר"ל שעומד על הפרק.

2. מזכ"ל - תהליך השלום : שאלתה לגבי מפגש המזכ"ל עם ערפאת.

השיבה שלא ראתה הדיווח על השיחה אך קיבלה הרושם שערפאת לא הציג רעיונות ברורים כיצד הוא מתכוון לפעול בעתיד הקרוב.

לגבי תביעת אש"ף שהאו"ם יטול חלק בהגנת האוכלוסיה הפלסטינאית

בשטחים, השיבה שהמזכ"ל מודע היטב למיגבלותיו ולמציאות כשטח

ולא חל למעשה כל שינוי בעמדתו, מאז דיווחו למועבי"ט כינואר

קרי: ישראל היא המעצמה הכובשת ובחור שכזאת אחראית לענייני

הקדר והבטחון. על כוונות המזכ"ל לגבי תהליך השלום, ציינה,

שלמרות שהמזכ"ל ממשיך לעקוב בעניין אחרי המתרחש, והוא אינו

אדיש לסוגיה, הוא אינו מרגיש שעתה הזמן "TO GO OUT ON A LIMB"

בנקיטת יוזמת שלום למז"ת. זאת לנוכח, בעיקר, אי-יכולתה של מועבי"ט,

כשל - עמדת ארה"ב, לקבוע לו מנדט ברור, יוזמת שולץ שעמדה

על הפרק בחודשים האחרונים, וכן הבחירות בישראל ובאר"ב. בוטנהיים

הזכירה הדו"ח של המזכ"ל שנמצא עתה בהכנה על המצב במז"ת - ועידה

בינ"ל ונתנה להבין שהדו"ח יהיה טכני בעיקרו - ודומה לדו"ח הקודם

על שאלת פלסטיו.

אישור:

שם השולח:

תאריך:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

דחיות:	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק טופס מברק	ר"ף: 2
סרג בטחוני:		מתור: 2
ת"ת:		א ל :
גר :		ד ע :
203		מאת :

3. ערפאת-עצרת : אין כל מידע אם ערפאת אכן מתכוון להגיע לניו יורק, והבחנתי באשת שיחי שלא גילתה התלהבות יתרה מאפשרות זו. הרהרתי בפניה, שדווקא בחקופה הנוכחית שיוקרתו של המזכ"ל נמצאת בעליה בעיני התקשורת הבינ"ל, ספק אם ביקורו של ערפאת יסייע רבות למאמצים של האו"ם לשכנע הממשל והקונגרס האמריקני לשלם את חובותיו לאו"ם. לגבי אש"ף, הביעה ספק אם ערפאת יהיה מסוגל להציג תוכנית מדינית חדשה ומרחיקה לכת. להערכתה, אש"ף יהסס לנקוט בכל צעד כזה לא רק מפני החשש שלא תבוא תגובה אמריקנית חיובית אך גם שלא תהיה היענות ישראלית כלשהי. לדעתה הקרע בין תושבי יש"ע לבין המנהיגות של אש"ף מחוץ לשטחים הולך וגדל. לדעתה תושבי השטחים יותר מציאותיים ומגלים יותר פרגמטיות במישור של הצרכים המדיניים המטרידים אותם. לעומת זאת, המנהיגות מחוץ ליש"ע, שמייצגת בעיקר הפלסטינאים בלבנון, נאבקת בתוך עצמה לגבי הרעיונות הקרוניים שאינם עונים על צורכי השעה של התושבים. העירה, שחבל בנסיבות אלה שישראל נוקטת בצעדים כמו מעצרים שאינם תורמים לשיפור האווירה בשטח. השבתי שכל עוד התושבים יציכו בפני השלטונות בעיות בטחוניות חמורות, ישראל תהיה חייבת לנקוט בצעדים המתאימים, אולם, אילו תושבי יש"ע היו מוכנים להעביר את פעילותם למישור המדיני, הדבר היה מאפשר לישראל להגיב, גם היא, בצורה מקבילה.

נאו"ם

אישור:

שם השולח: ג'. יששכרוף

9.9.88

תאריך:



ד"ר: _____	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק	דתינות: _____
כתוב: _____	סופס מברק	סוג: _____
אל: משפט, הסברה	תאריך ויום העדו	כלים: _____
ד"ר: ציר הסברה ווש', לשכת השגריר ווש', רובין סייבל, ווש'		
פאת: קונסול הסברה נ"י		כל פבוק' 0365 0
		הפסד: _____
		46- 811

גירושים מהשטחים.

הועידה השנתית הארצית של הסקציה האמריקנית של האיגוד הבינ"ל של עו"ד ומשפטנים יהודיים, שהתכנסה בימים אלה בניו יורק, בנוכחות 200 משפטים ועו"ד, ואשר השגריר נשא בה דברו, קיבלה החלטה התומכת בזכותה של ישראל להפעיל ולכצע מדיניות הגירוש של יוזמי תפרות הסדר ומעשי האלימות

בשטחים,

ההחלטה התקבלה ע"ס חו"ד משפטית שנכתבה במשרדו של קנת ביאלקין (נעכירנה בדיס חקרוכ):

במברק נפרד נבריקכם חנוסח המלא של ההחלטה.

עו"ד יצחק מינץ, הנציג הישראלי בוועידה, מבקש כי תעבירו הידיעה בצרוף
נוסח ההחלטה למיכה יינון, מנכ"ל לשכת עוה"ד, (פקס 02-788738) ולבקשו
כי יעביר זאת ליעקב רובין, ברור גייכמן וחדסה בן-עתו לטיפולם ככל שימצאו
לנכון.

2 1 1 3 2
1031 1031 1031 1031 1031
1031 1031 1031 1031 1031

א. פלטר

13.10.88

תפוצה:

אי שווי:

שם השולח:

תאריך:



דף: 1	מחלקת הקשר	דחיימות:
כתוב: 2	ניו-יורק	סוג:
	טופס מברק	תאריך וזמן העבר:
	אל: מנהל לשכת השר, מע"ח, מצפ"א	
	דע: יועץ תקשורת לרה"מ, יועץ תקשורת לשהב"ט, לע"מ, דו"צ, קש"ח, מזכיר הממשלה, וושינגטון	כ"ס בברוקלין
	פאת: עתונות, ניו יורק	תקשורת: 0 0352 43-ח 35-מ/כ

12-OCT-1988

NEWS SUMMARY OCTOBER 12, 1988

TV COVERAGE

In reports on the security and safety lapses at U.S. nuclear weapons plant, McNeil/Lehrer and ABC noted Israelis were among the visitors.

STATEMENTS BY ISRAELIS

MITZNA: (Quoted extensively in NYT-Brinkley): Conceded that the Army's tough new strategies "may cause more violence in the short range"; Palestinian unrest is essentially a political problem; and offers reasons for increased casualties.

SNEH: "The intifada has broad support...so punishment is necessarily collective measures" and notes the smoldering Intifada has flared up." (NYT-Brinkley)

COLUMNS

NYP: Evans, Novak - "Helms seeks to block space deal until China halts missile sales to Arabs" - Helms and Senate conservatives feel the Reagan administration has surreptitiously elevated partnership with China at the expense of Israel by closing satellite deal before getting Beijing's written guarantee to stop missile sales to Arabs.

PRESS REPORTSIsrael - Uprising

NYT: Brinkley (p.1) - Article on Israel's inability to quell uprising focuses on the ineffectiveness of harsh new measures, such as parents law and plastic bullets, and the sense that they will only add to the violence. Mitzna quoted extensively - see above. (cabled)

WSJ: wire brief on violence in territories.

THE NATION (Oct. 17): Daoud Kuttub - "The struggle to build a Nation" - The Intifada has advanced the Palestinian struggle through its development of the "internal front," communal organizations and a pragmatic political offensive. Palestinians are moving to fill the vacuum left by Hussein and whatever political course the PLO now chooses, the uprising has set Palestinians on the path to statehood.

תפוצה:

איטור:

תאריך: Oct. 12, 88
סם השולח: ל"ס
מספר: 2+1+3
מספר: 2+1+3

8521 43/35

2b

ISRAEL - U.S. ELECTION

WSJ-Seib-Except for campaign posturing aimed at the Jewish vote, candidates are dodging most Mideast issues; analysts say the lack of voter concern and sensitivity of the issues has kept candidates from taking firm positions. Some criticize Dukakis, though, for insensitivity to politics of region with his call for embassy move and no arms to Arabs. (cabled)

SPYS IN U.S. BOMB LABS

NYT:Gordon: Senators reviewing security lapse in nuclear weapons labs voiced concern that visits from foreigners were connected to efforts to develop nuclear weapons; Energy Dept. report cited Israeli and Indian visitors involved in scientific research that could be nuclear-related.

ISRAEL- POLIO

ND:Katz: Israelis fear a polio epidemic may be sweeping the country, despite government assurances.

LEBANON - HOSTAGES

NYT:briefing:Insiders say Ghorbanifar has tried to become involved in schemes to free American hostages but no one is biting.

ALGERIA

No longer front page news and only appearing in the NYT, Algerian unrest generated two NYT stories, one on ebbing of violence and another on the economic conditions that led to unrest.

ISRAEL - KOOR CRISIS

NYT:Levin:Report on efforts to save Koors from liquidation.

FORMER U.S. HOSTAGE, FACES DISCHARGE

NYT:wires:Former Iranian embassy hostage says he is being forced out of the marines because of problems stemming from his captivity.
(ND,DN)

GENINE STAUBER
ITONUT-NY

*

** ירצא **

שמו

**

**

**

חוזם: 9,6050

אל: ווש/192, נוי/257

מ-: המשרד, תא: 090988, זח: 1730, זח: מ, טג: שמ

תח: גס: מזתים

נד: 8

שמו/מיוז

וושנינגטון, נ"י

פעילות לא-יזיוותית של ראש ארגון C.D.F

1. ב-21.8.88 מורסם בעיתון 'אלמג'ר' באנגלית שארגון (COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION) C.D.F. שבת למשן יומיים (19-20 אוג') במחאה על החלטת ישראל להוציא מחוץ לחוק את הועדות העממיות. במאמר גם נאמר שראש ארגון C.D.F ביו"ש, קריס ג'רוג' שיבה את פעילות הועדות העממיות ביו"ש.

2. קריס ג'רוג' נקרא ל-ס/המתאם ב-7.9 ובתשובה לשאלה אמר שהוא עומד מאחורי כל מילה שהופיעה במאמר. הזר וטען שהם הוכו בתוקמה שישראל הוציאה מחוץ לחוק את הועדות העממיות והפכה את הנמנים עליהן וכל מי שבא במגע עמן לפושעים. ע"כ הכריזו על שביתה בת יומיים כביטוי מחאתם כלפי שלטונות ישראל. הוסיף שדווח על צעדם לשג' ארה"ב בארץ ולקונסוליה בירושלים.

3. ס/המתאם הגיב שצעדי מנהל C.D.F מהווים התקפה חזיתית נגד החלטת שלטונות ישראל והעובדה שנתן להם פומבי והוא חוזר עליהם בפגישה אינם מותרים לנו ברורה אלא להקפיא כל פעילותנו עם ארגון C.D.F ביו"ש עד לקבלת החלטת הממונים.

הערות

548-558

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

1967

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

** נכנס

סודי

**

**

**

חוזם: 9,6413

אל: המשרד

מ-: ווש, נר: 214, תא: 090988, זח: 1900, דח: מ, סג: סו

תח: @ גס: פרנ

נד: @

סודי/מידי

אל: פר'נ, מא'ס, ממ'ד

דע: מצפ'א

מאת: שג וושינגטון

ארה'ב-סין :- סוגיית הטילים.

1. בפגישת היכרות (9.9) עם מנהל מחלקת מזרח אסיה והפסיפיק
וסגנו באגף המחקר, העליתי את נושא הטילים הסינים
בעקבות הדיווחים בתקשורת על שיחות שר ההגנה קרלוצ'י
בפקין.

2. להלן סיכום שיחתנו:-

(א) הדיווח בווינגטון פוסט (ראה שלי 148 מה-8.9) משקף
נאמנה את תוכן שיחותיו של קרלוצ'י.

(ב) בשיחות האחרונות שהתקיימו עם הסינים בסוגיה, נראה
שהחלו להבין שיצטרכו להכנס לדיון רציני עם ארה'ב
וכי לא יוכלו להסתפק במתן הבטחות סרק. הסינים, קיבלו
העובדה שהמדובר בנושא לגיטימי לדיון. הבהירו לסינים
ההשלכות האפשריות על היחסים הביטורליים.

(ג) למרות שלא קיבלו הבטחה מפורשת נאמר להם כי סוג
הטילים שנמכרו לסעודיה (CSS 2)
לא יימכר למדינות אחרות.

0.75

10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10
10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10 10-10

משרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

ד) לדברי איש שיחי, נותרו עדיין מספר נושאים שעליהם טרם הצליחו לקבל הבהרות לדוגמא: הכמויות שנמכרו לסעודיה ושווי העסקה.

ה) אשר לידיעות על מגעים סינו-סוריים השיב כי יש רמזים בכיוון זה. בשלב זה, לא ברור מצב המו'מ. ככל הנראה מדובר על טילים מסוג M-9 (קצרי טווח).

ו) סיכם באמרו שמבחינת ארה'ב החשוב הוא שהסינים הסכימו לקיים דיונים רציניים ושהבינו שאין המדובר בנושא בעל השלכות 'מסחריות' בלבד, וכי עליהם לנהוג בעתיד בזהירות.

שטיין.

רש

תפ: שהח,רהמ,שהבט,מנכל,ממנכל,ר/מרכז,רס,אמן,בירן,פרנ,מצפא,
הדס,אסיה,ממד



112

26

משרד החוץ
ירושלים

ני: 1008
סג 1400

25

ג

שמור/מייד.

י.א. 202.01- 7187

נאו"ם
בין

המצב במז"ח - ועידה בין"ל. שלכט 132.

1. שגרנא את נוסח החשובה דלהלן לאגרת המזכ"ל מה-2 בספטמבר. תשובתנו מגדירה במדויק את עמדתנו והיא על דעת לשכת השר.

2. להלן הנוסח:
קשר העבירונא הרצ"ב.

ברנע
9 בספטמבר 1988

Y/L

2 3 2
שדה רחל שדה גונא גונא חנא ארנא 2
כ/ארכא 4 4 4 4 1
1 1 1 1 1

1008:21

091400

212

[In reference to the Secretary General's note of 9 March 1988 regarding General Assembly resolution 42/66 D adopted on 2 December 1987, Israel voted against this resolution and those mentioned in the first preambular paragraph thereof.]

In the ongoing effort to expand the peace process in the Middle East, Israel has long advocated direct negotiations as the most promising venue for progress. As these G.A. resolutions propose an International Conference that seems to substitute for -- rather than support -- direct negotiations, they are unacceptable to Israel. Moreover, these resolutions make no reference to UNSC resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973) which constitute the only commonly acceptable basis for peace negotiations.

In this context it should be pointed out that the PLO, which has not accepted these two UNSC resolutions, continues to resort to violence and terrorism and rejects the reality of the State of Israel as well as its legitimate security concerns, cannot be considered a partner to peace negotiations.

In addition, Israel is convinced that it is the parties directly involved in the Arab-Israeli dispute that bear the primary responsibility for structuring the negotiation forum and for determining its agenda and procedure. Hence, no forum that substitutes for the direct involvement of the parties to the dispute can be authorized to act in their behalf.

מדידת	דחיפות:	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	קף: $\frac{1}{L}$
שומר	סוג:		כתוב: $\frac{1}{L}$
תאריך וזמן מעור:		מנהל מצפ"א	אל:
8 ספטמבר 88 - 17:00			דע:
כס' פרוק:			
הפסד:			
170		ציר - ע. ערן	פאת:

G.S.P.-U.S.T.R. שלך 156.

א. כדי למנוע אי הכנות - עמדות מחמ"ד ממשיכה להיות מנוגדת לזר של U.S.T.R. היינו על-מנת להיות עקביים הם טוענים שאין הם יכולים מחד לדרוש מאיתנו סעיפים ונטפחים בכל מזכרי ההבנה השונים שבהם יש התייחסות לאי תחולתם על השטחים, ומאידך להסכים לשמיעות על תלונה על ישראל, שבמרכז התארגנות עובדים בשטחים. מחמ"ד טוענת שאילו התלונה היחה על מניעת חופש התארגנות בישראל, היתה הסיפור שונה כמובן מבחינתם. לכן עמדת מחמ"ד לא השתנתה והיא כאמור שוללת השמיעות. ה-U.S.T.R. טוען מצידו שאין כל מקום לשיקול דעת, מאחר והדגשה תלונה שבה אין כל פסול. מבחינה טכנית אין דרך אלא לקיים השמיעות. ראו נא בהקשר זה עדות נובל עצמו במברק 683 מיום 30.8.8.

ב. השמיעות נדחו כבר לנובמבר, ואינני רואה טעם בהפעלת לחץ, גם אם יצליח לחקדמתו.

ערן

2318
2
1
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100


































































































































































































































































































































































תפוצה: 36

דחיות: רגיל	מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק	1 יו"ר:
סוג כספוני: שמו		2 מחור:
ת"ח: 081100	א ל : המשרד	ד ע :
גר : 0 0152		
מאת : נאו"ם		

אל : מנהל ארבל 2
דע : מז"תים וא"צ
מאת : יששכרוף

שיחה עם אבול גהייט - סגן ראש המשלחת המצרית (6/9)

1. העצרת ה-43 : לא הבחין בזירת האו"ם בכל יוזמה או מזימה הנוגעת לנו, ובכל מקרה ההתפתחויות תהיינה קשורות במה שיוחלט במל"פ בתוכים וכן במידה פחותה בכינוס הבלמ"ז בקפריסין. בשלב זה, צפוי בעצרת ג'ון קנושאים הרגילים הקשורים בסכסוך + האינתיפאדה, כאשר הוא מעריך שתהיה מגמה לתת ביטוי בהחלטות השונות להתנתקותה של ירדן מיש"ע, ולהדגיש את האופי הפלסטינאי להיבטי הכעיה כגון למשל ריבוי בשימוש המונח "השטחים הפלסטינאים" וכדומה. הזכיר הכוונות לערוך דיון במועכי"ט בעניין השטחים ותהליך השלום אך אין לדבריו כל החלטה לגבי העיתוי של הדיון. שאלתיו על ציפיותיו לגבי אש"ף ותהליך השלום, והשיב שהוא אינו צופה שינוי מרחיק לכת מבחינתו של האירגון וכי אם יקבל 242 יהיה הדבר במסגרת החלטות האו"ם האחרות.
2. כתב ההאמנה : שאלתיו אם שמע דבר מה לגבי כתב האמנתנו השנה, ואם לא הגיע הזמן שניפטר מהתרגיל אחת ולתמיד. השיב שעוד מוקדם לדעת מה תחליט הקבוצה הערבית לעשות בנדרון וקרוב לוודאי שהנושא ידון אחרי פתיחת העצרת. לגבי הערתי שהגיע הזמן לשים קץ לתרגיל, השיב ישר, שכדאי שנדבר עם הסוכייטים על כך, וגם עם הטיבים, אך המפתח, לדעתו, הוא בידי בריה"מ.
3. הופעת ערפאת בעצרת : אינו יודע אם אכן מתכוון ערפאת להגיע לניו יורק, אך ציין שממשל ארה"ב כהחלט אינו מעוניין שיגיע לניו יורק לפני הבחירות לנשיאות בארה"ב ב-8/11.

נאו"ם
שם השולח: ג'. יששכרוף
תאריך: 8.9.88
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור: 
אישור:

SEC. SHULTZ-09/13/88
SENATE JUDICIARY CMTE
.STX

25-1

2/6

(DM)

262

and so I appreciate that this is no easy task.

My first question is, does the President's recommended an allocation for Soviet refugees satisfy the increase in the pool of refugees seeking entrance into the United States? And I know that -- you know -- we're reaching heights that are probably greater than any time they've been since 1979 or '80.

SEC. SHULTZ: -- (inaudible) our best estimate of what is appropriate. The situation is somewhat unpredictable, just as last year at this time we were not in any position to predict this huge surge of Armenian applicants that we found. I don't think we can feel we know exactly what's going to be happening in the next fiscal year, but to the best of our ability, the number we put forward, which is considerably above -- as I testified -- last year's number, is reasonable.

SEN. GRASSLEY: How would you answer that question if I had limited it just to the Soviet Jews who want to leave?

SEC. SHULTZ: Well, I hope that the numbers are much higher, but we have seen an increase, and we will continue to push on this very hard. I feel that if something should happen and we had a huge surge and people wanted to come to the United States, I feel very confident that we could come back to the Congress and get approval for that quickly, if we had that kind of emergency. We do have to think about all parts of the world and allocate these numbers out. But I think we've taken adequate care here. Of course, it also is a question of not only how many are allowed to leave the Soviet Union, but where do they go. And the Israelis are doing everything they can to persuade them to come to Israel, and we have no problem with that at all. Proportionately, they've been coming mostly to the United States in recent years.

SEN. GRASSLEY: Well, along the line of what you suggested, bringing up the Israeli policy of encouraging everybody to come from the Soviet Union directly there, does this announced Israeli policy of direct flights for Soviet Jews to Israel have any impact on the number will be allowed admission into the United States?

SEC. SHULTZ: We feel that there is a fundamental principle involved in the human rights field, namely, the principle of freedom of choice. And so a person who is allowed -- given permission to emigrate from the Soviet Union should have a freedom of choice as to where they want to go. Obviously, the country that is the potential recipient has to be willing to receive them. And so if things are done that seem to go against freedom of choice, we can't be in favor of that. So that has lead to all sorts of suggestions about possible screening in Moscow, rather than after they leave Moscow.

.ETX

3/6

262


SEC. SHULTZ-07/13/88
SENATE JUDICIARY CMTE
.STX

25-2

(DM)

I have to come down myself, being concerned about the risk to Jews in the Soviet Union, the anti-Semitism that we see there, as first and foremost saying, "Let's help them get out if they can and want to." And if -- of course, if they wish to go to Israel, I'm all for that. And I think Israel wants them very much. And so, to the extent we can be helpful, we want to be. But, you do have a fundamental principle of freedom of choice that we have to stand by.

SEN. GRASSLEY: And so today that position you just reaffirmed is a reaffirmation and consistent then with our Helsinki Accord responsibilities?

SEC. SHULTZ: Yes, if you are against freedom of choice, you're inconsistent with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which we support. And the Israelis are not against freedom of choice, they just want to rig the system a little bit. 

SEN. GRASSLEY: Are the -- are the Soviets honoring invitations directly from the United States for Soviet Jews, and if so, how's that working?

SEC. SHULTZ: Well, it's really -- it's a question of the criteria that can be applied, and we would like to see the Soviets drop the questions about relatives and so on, and just say that if a human being wishes to emigrate, they have a right to do so under the Helsinki Final Act and Universal Declaration of Human Rights. There don't have to be any other criteria.

.ETX

CONTINUED ON PAGE 26-1

FEDERAL NEWS SERVICE 202-347-1400
COPYRIGHT (C) 1988, FEDERAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SEC. SHULTZ-09/13/88
SENATE JUDICIARY CMTE
.STX

26-1

4/6
262

(CA)

And, if they can get to that point, then you change the situation insofar as the recipient country is concerned and people in that country who are related or have some connection with the potential emigre.

SEN. GRASSLEY: So then are you saying the Soviets really aren't honoring those invitations the way we expect them to?

SEC. SHULTZ: They don't -- they don't take the position that a Soviet citizen can emigrate and choose wherever they want to go, assuming they will be received, if they wish to do so. They have a variety of restrictions about the need for there to be relatives in the host country. They have other restrictions internally, such as access to so-called state secrets, which find often, or mostly, is not something, at least seems to us to be, a serious impediment. So, there are all sorts of restrictions around the situation that we think should be done away with.

SEN. GRASSLEY: Considering the necessity this summer -- past summer -- of our embassy to shut down the consideration of visas, I guess that was for budgetary reasons, does this year's recommendation's on refugee admission ensure that we aren't going to have a repeat of that in the future?

SEC. SHULTZ: Well, we are setting up to do more processing in Moscow. And I think the INS is now there doing some direct interviewing. (Aside) Is that correct?

MR. : Yes.

SEC. SHULTZ: So that improves the situation. We allocate staff to this function. As you know, we are severely limited in the number of people that we have in the Moscow embassy. We can't just put more people in there if they're needed to do the task. There's a limited number of people who are allowed to be there, and the same for the Soviet Union here. There's a very strict reciprocity. So, our sort-of managerial flexibility has some limits to it, but we've taken steps to position ourselves better. I would have to say, again, that the surge of Armenian requests was sudden, and so we handled it, I think, well under the circumstances, but we did run out of administrative capability and we ran out of money. So, we had to come back to the Congress for help.

SEN. GRASSLEY: So, in the -- this is my last question. Have I used my ten minutes? (Response off-mike). Then -- are you really saying there, then, considering the increase in emigration applications and what we hope to be even more of an increase, then, like for fiscal year 89 you feel like you're accommodating this, at least to some extent, although I know it isn't possible to predict,

.ETX

SEC. SHULTZ-09/13/88
SENATE JUDICIARY CMTE
.STX

26-2

(CA)

you know, rapid increases, but you're accomodating some increase, considering the more -- what appears to be a little more liberal approach from the Soviets?

SEC. SHULTZ: The number that we have allocated is 24,500 for Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union. And last year at this time, the number that we had there was...

MR. : 15,000.

SEC. SHULTZ: 15,000. So, our allocation is higher and --

SEN. GRASSLEY: And the budget is --

SEC. SHULTZ: -- therefore we are budgeting for the higher number and we are trying to organize ourselves admistratively for the higher number. But I can't sit here and tell you that nothing will happen to surprise us. And, as a matter of fact, our whole effort in a way is to be pleasantly surprised by

.ETX

CONTINUED ON PAGE 27-1

FEDERAL NEWS SERVICE 202-347-1400
COPYRIGHT (C) 1988, FEDERAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SEC. SHULTZ-09/13/88
SENATE JUDICIARY CMTE
.STX

27-1

(JD)

6/6
6/6
262

having more people who wish to, allowed to emigrate. And problems like that, I like to have. And it doesn't mean we don't have to struggle to solve them, but we feel we have a very sympathetic Congress, and if we find that there is a real emergency here, I don't have any doubt that we can come back to this Committee, and your counterparts in the House of Representatives, and get a very sympathetic and quick reading.

SEN. GRASSLEY: Thank you.

SEC. SHULTZ: But this is our best estimate.

SEN. GRASSLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SEN. SIMPSON: Senator Grassley has been an extraordinary participant in all these refugees and immigration issues, a real player, a well-informed and very capable legislator. And indeed, we have emergency procedures built into the Act, and we do respond, and that's why I hope that in the consultation process we don't try to bypass, you know, what is really there to be done on an emergency basis. And that would be a very unfortunate error, too. We'll respond to emergencies in every way, but the numbers are sacred toward a quote, "normal flow," and in normal times, and somewhere we're forgetting that in this great ritual.

Mr. Secretary, you've been very kind with your time, and I'm always personally very proud to have you speaking for me and my country, wherever you are in your far-flung travels. You are, really, to me, one of the very finest of public men, and I thank you, George, very much.

SEC. SHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

.ETX

END OF WITNESS TESTIMONY

FEDERAL NEWS SERVICE 202-347-1400
COPYRIGHT (C) 1988, FEDERAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

148

: 11

2/2

Carlucci Raises Missiles Issue; China Dismissive

By Daniel Southerland
Washington Post Foreign Service

BEIJING, Sept. 6—Dismissing concerns raised by senior U.S. officials over missile sales to the Middle East, Defense Minister Qin Jiwei asserted today that Chinese arms sales are insignificant compared with those of the superpowers.

Shortly before meeting with Defense Secretary Frank C. Carlucci, Qin said the biggest arms dealers in the world are the United States and the Soviet Union, and by comparison Chinese sales "only amount to a fraction."

"China is a developing country and even in the future it will not sell armaments in large quantities," Qin told reporters, according to the official New China News Agency.

A senior Defense Department official said Carlucci raised the issue of missile sales in the more than three-hour meeting but Qin failed to address it. The official said he did not know if Carlucci discussed the issue at a banquet which Qin hosted

tonight for him at the Great Hall of the People.

Qin said the two countries have "good relations in military affairs." Carlucci said in a toast this evening that the two sides are about to embark on "several new cooperative programs" in the defense field but did not specify what they are.

The United States has sold weapons and military technology to China, calling it defensive. Initiatives are under way to share information on medical programs and for military training exchanges.

The U.S. official who briefed reporters following the banquet said the United States recognizes China's right to have an overseas arms sales program just as the United States does. But, he added, "we do think that missiles fall into a special category."

On a visit here in mid-July, Secretary of State George P. Shultz raised the issue of missile sales. Shultz said the Chinese told him they had not made any sale of ballistic missiles to any country other

than Saudi Arabia, referring to China's sale to the Saudis of medium-range missiles capable of striking Israel.

Chinese officials say the Saudis assured them they would not transfer the missiles and would not be the first to use them in any conflict. They also say the missiles will remain non-nuclear.

But the United States continues to be concerned about Chinese Silk-worm missiles sold to Iran that, while not ballistic, are capable of striking U.S. Navy ships patrolling the Persian Gulf.

U.S. diplomats here say China halted such weapons sales after protests by Washington but that the Silkworms already obtained by Iran remain in place.

"As far as we're concerned, the introduction of missiles into the Middle East is potentially destabilizing," said a U.S. official a few days prior to Carlucci's arrival. "The missiles are still there," the official said. "As long as they're there, they still threaten U.S. ships."

6

שגרירות ישראל בוושינגטון
טופס מברק

153

 $\frac{1}{2}$

22

דף 1. מתוך 2.
דחיפות: מיידי
סוג: גלוי

אל: מצפ"א, ממ"ד
דע: יועץ שהב"ט לתקשורת

תאריך, זמן: 8.9.88
מספר המברק:

מאת: עתונות

המשרד :

סינ - טילים

להלן מתוך ה"ווישינגטון פוסט" על שיחות שר ההגנה קרלוצ'י עם הסינים.

כל אדם
עשונות

China Assures Carlucci On Mideast Arms Sales

Peking Seen Curbing Missile Supply Role

By Daniel Southerland
Washington Post Foreign Service

BEIJING, Sept. 7—Defense Secretary Frank C. Carlucci said today following talks with Chinese leaders that he feels "fully satisfied" China will behave in a "thoroughly responsible way" in its weapons sales to foreign nations.

"In my opinion, these are the best discussions we have ever had on this subject, and I hope that we can now put this issue behind us," Carlucci said at the end of two days of talks here during which he raised U.S. concerns about Chinese missile sales to the Middle East.

U.S. officials accompanying Carlucci said the willingness of the Chinese to discuss their arms sales policy in depth was a significant development and had helped to assure him that China will not be selling

זכויות יוצרים

FRANK C. CARLUCCI
... ends two days of talks in Peking

$\begin{matrix} & u & & & & & & & \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta & \epsilon & \zeta & \eta & \theta & \iota \end{matrix}$

more intermediate-range missiles to Middle East nations beyond those already sold to Saudi Arabia.

The United States considers such missiles to be dangerously "destabilizing." The missiles sold to the Saudis are capable of striking Israel. This and a fear that the spread of such missiles could be accompanied by development of a chemical weapons capability threatening the entire Middle East region have caused great concern in the U.S. government.

Carlucci's remarks were the most positive to be made by a senior U.S. official regarding Chinese weapons sales.

American concern was first aroused more than a year ago when U.S. officials accused China of selling Silkworm missiles to Iran that Washington said threatened U.S. ships patrolling the Persian Gulf.

China denied selling the missiles to Iran but promised to take steps to prevent its missiles from being diverted there.

Carlucci would not go into detail as to how the Chinese had convinced him that they were taking a "responsible" approach to Middle East arms sales. But a U.S. official accompanying him said it was China's top leader, Deng Xiaoping, who gave the

most convincing arguments in a meeting with Carlucci today.

According to the official New China News Agency, Deng told Carlucci, "Here you can see with your own eyes that China is a trustworthy and responsible country."

Speaking at a press conference, Carlucci said Chinese leaders emphasized that they would never sell nuclear weapons to foreign nations and want to pursue policies that contribute to peace and stability.

Following the controversy over Silkworm missile sales to Iran, the Reagan administration had frozen further liberalization of high technology sales to China. But once the administration was convinced that China was halting further sales and exercising a restraining influence on Iran, it ended the freeze.

Members of the U.S. Congress continued to show concern, however, particularly once it was learned that China had secretly arranged to sell Saudi Arabia ballistic missiles with a range of up to 1,600 miles.

In mid-July, the Chinese told Secretary of State George P. Shultz that they had not sold ballistic missiles to any country other than Saudi Arabia. But the administration apparently

See CHINA, A35, Col. 1

153

2/2

China Assures U.S. on Arms

CHINA, From A31

felt this fell short of a pledge to halt any further such sales.

Apparently signaling that the United States now feels more assured, Carlucci said that U.S. defense experts are discussing with Chinese counterparts new programs that would transfer more military technology to China's Army and Air Force.

The United States is already providing military technology in a num-

ber of areas, including electronics for Chinese Air Force interceptors, torpedoes for the Chinese Navy, and the know-how for the production of Army artillery fuses.

Carlucci also said he had no objection to China's plans to launch U.S. commercial satellites on Chinese rockets.

Carlucci continues his visit Thursday with a trip to the ancient city of Xian. He ends it Saturday with the inspection of ships and a naval base near Shanghai.

*

דף: <u>2</u>	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	דחיות:
כתוב: <u>2</u>		סוג:
אל:		תאריך נפקת: תעבור:
דע:		פס' פרוק: הפסד:
כאח:		174

- 2 -

(ב) הנשיא מובארק התרשם בביקורו בבגדאד כי סאדם חוסיין נכון למלא תפקיד מתחם כלפי אש"ף ועראפת ולעודדם לנקוט בעמדות מתונות. מובארק סבור כי סאדם חוסיין עתיד למלא תפקיד קונסטרוקטיבי בעידוד תהליך השלום.

מסר זה הועבר אליו באמצעות אוסמה אל-באז אשר הצטרף למובארק בביקורו בבגדאד. כמו כן העלה בפני המזכיר מספר נושאים כלכליים. בין היתר על אכזבתה של מצרים על שלא אושרו לה תכניות הפיתוח על ידי קרן המטבע הבינ"ל.

אבזא

תפוצה:

15

1/14

שגרירות ישראל בווינגטון
טופס מברק

14. דף 1. מתוך
דחיפות מייד
סוגגלוי

אלו מצפ"א, מע"ת, הסברה

דע:

תאריך, זמן: 8.9.88
מספר המברק:

מאת: עתונות

150

149

המשרד:

להלן נאומי המועמדים לנשיאות, בוש ודוקקיס, בוועידת בני-ברית
בבלטימור.

ק/אלי-עלמ
עתונות

שניח 2
הה 3
מנס 1
מנס 1
ביין 1
מנפא 2
א/ביס 1
מנס 1
הסברה 2
מזכ 1
*

159

(prepared for delivery)

2/14

MICHAEL S. DUKAKIS
B'NAI B'RITH INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND
SEPTEMBER 7, 1988

Let me first thank my friend Phil Klutznick for that kind introduction -- and for his lifetime of service to B'nai B'rith and to our country. Let me also commend two outstanding leaders whose dedicated efforts have advanced the causes we share -- your President, Seymour Reich and your Executive Vice President, Tom Newmann.

Kitty and I are grateful for the opportunity to be with you tonight. And let me tell you how proud I am of the work she has done as an Executive Committee Member of ADL's New England Region in fighting against every form of prejudice and discrimination.

Whenever the security of Israel has been threatened, whenever the rights of people anywhere have been challenged, whenever citizens of any faith or race in America have needed help, whenever the principles of democracy and decency and tolerance have been under assault, B'nai B'rith has been there as a powerful force for freedom and justice.

I am proud to stand before you today; I have been proud to stand with you for many years, both in the State House in Boston and in private life. The principles you fight for are my principles; the causes you champion are my causes. I look forward to working with you--as President of the United States.

Last Saturday morning, I went with my mother to Ellis Island, where she arrived 75 years ago.

And my friends, you can't look at the Statue of Liberty, you can't read the words inscribed on its base, without feeling very good about America's past and about our future.

What an inheritance we have! And what a responsibility we have.

To keep America strong. Militarily and economically. To protect our security, defend our vital interests, and support our allies and friends.

To celebrate the magnificent diversity of our people--to draw strength from each other's wisdom and skills and cultures and pride; and to rid this land forever of the cancers of racism and anti-Semitism and discrimination.

MORE

*

150

Vulc - 2

3/14

2

Those who came to Ellis Island believed in the dream of liberty; and we must defend that dream against all adversaries--against those who would threaten us from abroad; against those strident voices from the radical right who would undermine the Constitutional principles of individual liberty and the separation of church and state here at home.

Those who came to Ellis Island sought economic opportunity, and we must work together to make that dream a reality, not just for the privileged few, but for every citizen in this land.

And some came to Ellis Island, some of you came to Ellis Island, to escape persecution, to escape political prisons and death camps and the threat of torture; you came to America seeking life itself; and we must never forsake our role as a place of refuge for the victims of terror and repression in other lands.

My friends, we must keep the trust we have been given; we must prove worthy of our inheritance; we must work together to bring opportunity and prosperity home to every citizen here in the United States; and we must stand shoulder to shoulder with democratic nations and peoples abroad.

America's place is not with dictators. It is with governments that trust and respect their own people. It is with democratic nations that are proud and strong and free. It is with nations like Israel.

From the day of Israel's rebirth four decades ago, we Americans have understood that Israel's fate is our fate. That when Israel is threatened, all those who cherish freedom are threatened. Israel--like the United States--is a special place. Born in conflict. Dedicated to freedom and democracy. Committed to peace. Resolute in defense of its people and our shared values.

I believe deeply in those values. That's why I was the first Governor to sign a comprehensive agreement with Israel to encourage joint economic development projects. And that's why I was the first Governor to insist that any company doing business with my state must reject the Arab boycott of Israel. That was 12 years ago, and the principle hasn't changed.

And in 1975, when the United Nations turned history on its head and declared that "Zionism is racism," I spoke out against it. And I was proud to join this year with the Governors of every state in the union--except the Governor of New Hampshire--to condemn and repudiate that action.

My commitment to these principles is deep and personal. They have guided me in the State House; they will determine the policies of my Administration in the White House. The United States has a special relationship with Israel. I want to

MORE

*

150

Dulc-3

4/14

3

strengthen that relationship; to build on it; and to work together with the leaders of Israel, and with Arab leaders who are willing, to protect democracy and further the cause of peace throughout the Middle East.

As President, I will strengthen our strategic partnership with Israel; a partnership that makes both countries stronger; a partnership that provides a strong democratic presence to counter Soviet influence in the Middle East; a partnership that provides the United States with valuable intelligence information, and with cost-effective weapons like remotely-piloted vehicles and Popeye missiles for our B-52's. When the United States needs a place to store military equipment in the region to protect our national interests, Israel is there to help.

You know, for forty years, Israel has been tested every day and every week and every month. Tested economically by the challenge of building a nation. Tested militarily by the need to defend its borders against hostile neighbors and ruthless terrorists.

Today, Israel faces new dangers. Violence in the territories. King Hussein's withdrawal from the West Bank. A rise in radical Islamic fundamentalism. Enemies armed with ballistic missiles that can carry chemical and biological weapons. And new Soviet arms shipments to Syria that speak louder than the new Soviet rhetoric of peace.

These dangers will test not only the leaders of Israel, but of the United States, as well.

As President, I will work with Congress and with the leaders of Israel to maintain Israel's qualitative military edge.

We will not sell weapons that would threaten the security of Israel to any nation. And we will work to persuade our NATO allies to join us in that policy.

We're going to continue to help Israel defend itself by providing generous levels of military and economic aid; we'll work for a worldwide ban on chemical and biological weapons; and we're going to do all we can to protect Israel from the growing threat of tactical ballistic missiles.

We're going to tell the Soviet Union and China that if they want to join the international economic community, they will have to get out of the business of selling these deadly weapons. And we're going to help Israel defend against this threat by continuing to support the ARROW anti-missile system.

Last month, the President vetoed the bill that funds the ARROW. He vetoed it as a favor to George Bush, but that veto was no

MORE

*

159

YUK-7

5/14

4

favor to Israel. Lloyd Bentsen and I will never play politics with Israel's security.

The Republicans have sold AWACS to Saudi Arabia, Mavericks to Kuwait, Stingers to Bahrain and billions of additional dollars worth of sophisticated arms to Arab countries that refuse to make peace with Israel. George Bush has supported those sales, and Dan Quayle has voted for them. Lloyd Bentsen and I are going to say "no" to Arab shopping lists that endanger the security of Israel.

Mr. Quayle has voted repeatedly against foreign assistance to Israel.

Yesterday, he told the Washington Post that George Bush was leaning on him for foreign policy advice. I'd rather listen to Lloyd Bentsen -- and I'm sure you would, too.

The Republican ticket doesn't acknowledge Israel's sovereignty over its capital -- an undivided Jerusalem. We do.

The Trade Representative in this Administration has turned common sense into nonsense by threatening Israel's trade with the U.S. and placing Israel in the company of Syria, Haiti and Burma -- because of alleged violations of labor rights. That's absurd.

In a Dukakis Administration, we're going to have a Trade Representative who can tell the difference between right and wrong.

And there will be no trips to Bitburg.

Beginning on January 20, we're going to have a President who will work for peace in the Middle East from the day he takes office until that long awaited dream is fulfilled.

One of my first acts as President will be to appoint a special envoy with my instructions -- "use every ounce of your energy to convince Arab leaders to negotiate peace with Israel."

Of course, we cannot--and should not try to--impose a solution on the region. Only the parties to the conflict can make peace. And that peace must come through direct negotiations. No settlement that is unacceptable to Israel will be acceptable to the United States.

But the United States does have a responsibility to help build that peace. A peace that will guarantee Israel's right to live within secure and defensible borders and provide, in the words of the Camp David accords, for the legitimate rights of the Palestinian people.

MORE

*

159

Yuk 5

6/14

5

King Hussein's decision to withdraw from the West Bank and Gaza has changed the debate in the Middle East. But it has not changed the fact that Jordan must play a role in future negotiations.

Nor does it make the PLO a fit partner for peace. Only last month, the world once again saw the true face of the PLO--in a terrorist attack that injured 25 innocent people, some of them children, in Haifa. My friends, there can never be a role in negotiations for the PLO unless it renounces terrorism in word and deed; unless it accepts U.N. Resolutions 242 and 338; and unless it clearly and explicitly renounces its own covenant which states that peace can only come at the price of Israel's right to exist.

A Dukakis Administration will never recognize a unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state or government in exile. I met with the Israeli Ambassador in August and he posed an interesting question: "How can a government that has never existed declare itself to represent a state that has never existed?"

If there is to be peace in the Middle East, Arab leaders must follow the path of Anwar Sadat.

And if Mr. Gorbachev is serious about wanting to be constructive; if he wants to encourage lasting progress in U.S.-Soviet relations, he should take these simple steps. Establish full diplomatic relations with Israel. Stop voting to expel Israel from the United Nations. Use your influence with Syria to gain support for peace. Live up to your commitments under the Helsinki Accords to respect the rights of your own citizens to practice their faith and study their culture without fear. And grant Soviet Jews who wish to leave their country the right to do so.

After all, Mr. Gorbachev, if glasnost can open Soviet society, why can't it open the door to free emigration by Soviet Jews?

And a Dukakis Administration will stand by Jackson-Vanik to make sure these doors open wide -- once and for all.

I know that many of you have devoted your lives to helping Soviet Jews. Over the years, Kitty and I have been grateful for the opportunity to work with you; to do our part; to be inspired by the courage and to rejoice in the freedom of people like Benjamin Charny and the Fuchs-Rabinovich family. We will never forget the long struggle of Michael, Marina and Mishka. We will never forget the joy in our hearts at Mishka's long-overdue Bar Mitzvah, where Kitty was called to the bimah for an aliyah. And it is an honor to have this brave family here with us this evening.

more

159

DLC-6 7/14

6

We will never forget their courage. And we will never forget the courage of those who still wait for freedom.

On November 9, the day after the presidential election, we will observe the fiftieth anniversary of one of the most tragic days in history: Kristallnacht, the "night of broken glass." On that night, the basic principles of civilized people were set aside--and the world would never be the same again.

The broken windows of Kristallnacht should have shattered the worldwide silence about what was happening to Germany's Jews. Instead, anti-Semitism had free reign while the world stood by indifferent to the fate of a doomed people.

History has shown us the cost of that indifference and taught us that no work so touches the human spirit as the work of remembrance. We advocate remembrance, as our friend Elie Wiesel has said, "as a means of redemption of the future."

Three years ago, Kitty and I hosted the first state dinner to benefit the United States Holocaust Museum. When that museum is completed, it will be a symbol of our determination to never again tolerate such unspeakable barbarity on any people.

It is up to all of us, public officials and private citizens, to speak out forcefully against anti-Semitism, racism, and every form of bigotry--whether it occurs in Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles or New York or anywhere else in America. That is a responsibility we all share, but it is especially the responsibility of the President of the United States.

Because silence is no substitute for leadership in the Oval Office.

Last month, I joined with thousands of Americans to commemorate the twenty-fifth anniversary of the March on Washington.

We came together to remember the work and the words of Martin Luther King. We came together to summon once again the spirit created in 1963 by Dr. King and Whitney Young and Rabbi Abraham Joshua Heschel and Father Theodore Hesburgh, and so many other Americans of every color and religion.

We came together at the Lincoln Memorial in 1988 because while America has made great progress in the last quarter century, we have miles to go before we fulfill the dream of equal opportunity for every American.

That dream is more powerful than any single cause; it soars above all barriers of race and culture and creed; it is sustained by our belief that we Americans--for all our wonderful

MORE ↓

150

SEP 08 '88 09:36 WOLF BLITZER D.C.

P.8/14

Duke-7 (last)

8/14

7

diversity--are one people, one nation, one community.

We know that opportunity for some is not good enough for this country; that we cannot rest until every single citizen in this land is a full shareholder in the American dream.

We cannot rest until all of our schools are good schools and American students are the best-skilled, best-prepared, and best-educated in the world.

Until we have ended the shame of homelessness in America and made good on Harry Truman's promise of basic health security for every American family.

We cannot rest until we bring prosperity home for all Americans -
- not just for the wealthy and the privileged.

And we cannot rest until apartheid is abolished and all the people of South Africa are free.

It is a tribute to B'nai B'rith and the Jewish community in America that you have always fought for the people who are struggling for basic rights and a decent life for themselves and their families. You have recognized and taught the basic truth that human rights are indivisible. You have supported Jews in the Soviet Union and shipyard workers in Poland and mineworkers in South Africa. You have fought for dignity and decent treatment and expanding opportunity for working men and women in the United States. And you understand how important the Constitutional separation of church and state is.

Your agenda, your aspirations and your achievements are America at its best. We have worked with you in the State House in Boston. We are going to work together in the White House. And let me say tonight, as we approach Rosh Hashanah, may we all be inscribed in the Book of Life for a happy, healthy, and sweet New Year. And may it be a year of compassion, moral courage, vision, justice, and opportunity for all Americans.

L'shanah Tova Tikatevu!

END Dukaku's -

9/14

159

George Bush for President

EXCERPTS FROM REMARKS BY VICE PRESIDENT GEORGE BUSH
CONVENTION OF THE B'NAI BRITH
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1988

It's a great honor to address this convention. The B'nai Brith are children of the Covenant, a Covenant that has bound together the Jewish people throughout their long history -- through tragedy and through triumph. Through the Holocaust to be reborn in the State of Israel!

This year Israel is celebrating her fortieth birthday, middle age to most people but just the beginning in the life of one of the world's most creative nations. Over these years, the Israelis have shown the world how to build a new society, how to give old values new life. And the best thing I could say was what I wrote to Prime Minister Shamir on Israel's Independence Day: "I want you to know that I, the President, and the American people stand with you and your country. We shall never falter or waver in our support." Israel has won a special place in America's heart and Israel has given America a new perspective on history. It's a perspective that only a people who know how to beat the odds of history, can provide.

We've got to take that long view as a nation. That's why we have pursued a policy of peace through strength. Of keeping our guard up but also our readiness to parlay. And that's how we were able to negotiate an arms control treaty with the Soviet Union to eliminate a whole class of nuclear weapons.

In doing so, we were symbolizing the hopes of mankind. But we didn't do this as a unilateral concession, or at the expense of our security. We must never do that and, as President, I never do that!

Truly, the lesson for our times is that deployments work better than freezes if you want to get the Soviet Union to the table, if you want to get equitable arms reduction. That strength works better than weakness if you want to get both peace and security.

The members of B'nai Brith know this lesson, too. Not so long ago, the Jewish people paid a horrible price for the weakness of the Western democracies. I say, never again. Never again!

MORE

733 15TH STREET, N.W., SUITE 800, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

TELEPHONE (202) 462-1488
Paid for by George Bush for President

X

Bush-2

10/14

15 The members of B'nai B'rith also know something else. Your Covenant begins with the Jewish people but doesn't stop there. You know that a good community consists of families caring for each other, not only for themselves. You know that a good nation consists of those communities extending the reach of their goodness.

I like that idea and I believe in it. I believe in an America strong and prosperous, and an America that lives by standards. An America of honorable public service. An America that takes its idealism and makes it concrete by acts of goodness. A kinder, gentler nation. A tolerant America, enriched by the contributions of many peoples and many cultures.

We may disagree in this country about many things, but we have a lot in common. Reverence for education. Reverence for human life. Reverence for law. Reverence for the principle of separation of church and state. And reverence for the duty of human kindness, to help those less fortunate.

There's another thing we share. We are concerned about the wider world, about the fate of humanity. You know as Jews, and we all know as Americans, that we must take our place in the ranks, alongside those struggling for freedom. Soviet Jews, seeking to emigrate. Ethiopian Jews seeking to find their real home. The State of Israel searching for peace. Our own freedom is diminished as theirs is diminished.

My friends, these are the hard truths of history. We should not have to relearn them.

I mentioned earlier that idealism must be translated into concrete acts. Let me take just a few minutes to discuss some very concrete acts that our Administration has taken with respect to Israel and the search for peace.

Back in 1980, we had an idea and it was called "Israel - Strategic Ally." It was a simple idea and a big one. We believed that Israel was not just the object of our sympathy and our goodwill but a full-fledged partner with the United States. A partner fully capable of contributing to our security.

Well, that caused a revolution in Washington. Lots of people were aghast. We were told that our interests in the region would be jeopardized. That even the use of the expression would set off drastic diplomatic repercussions. Well, they were wrong and we were right!

Even as strategic cooperation with Israel has gone forward, we have forged a stronger relationship with Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and other Gulf states. Today, it is clear that we can have an alliance with Israel and still pursue better relations with other countries in the area. We can work constructively with these states and not diminish our relations with Israel. This is in our interest and it is also in Israel's interest.

END

Bush-3 11/14

150 And despite this some people still have trouble saying the words, "Israel, strategic ally." Well, I don't. Israel, strategic ally. It's got a nice ring to it. The ring of hard, enduring reality.

What are the results of our efforts?

Today, the United States and Israel are engaged in joint planning on mutual threats in the Mediterranean. Eight years ago, joint planning was not even in the dictionary of US-Israeli relations.

Today, the United States and Israel have prepositioned supplies and are building an infrastructure to deal with emergencies. Eight years ago, a former President regarded Israel as a strategic liability -- can you figure that? -- not an asset to US action in the Middle East.

Today, the United States and Israel engage in joint military exercises. Eight years ago, the ships of the Sixth Fleet were often forbidden even to buy fruits and vegetables from Israel.

Today, Israel, through its own hard efforts and US aid, has dropped inflation from nearly 1000 percent to under 20 percent. Its economy has begun to grow again. Eight years ago, US aid to Israel was thought of as a weapon, a way to force Israel to do what it didn't want to do.

Today, we have a model free-trade agreement with Israel, of benefit to both countries. Eight years ago, this idea hadn't even been discussed, much less put on the agenda for action.

Today, the United States and Israel are partners in developing new technology, whether it be drones to gather intelligence at less risk to our pilots or the new, urgent project of defense against tactical missiles, part of the Strategic Defense Initiative. Eight years ago, we could only admire Israel's technological achievements from afar, not be partners in their developments.

When someone tells you that missile defense is a fantasy, just think of Israel. Israelis know something about military technology and they know something about real threats. In a region where missiles are dangerously proliferating into the hands of radical regimes, where we've seen the horrors of chemical warfare, missile defense is no fantasy. It's a necessity.

Israel understands that. Israel understands it is facing a threat like none it's faced before. That's why it was the first country to sign up to joint research and development on SDI. And that's why I am proud that we are working with Israel today on the Arrow project, an anti-tactical ballistic missile to counter this new danger.

MORE

*

REF ID: A66737 HALF DUTCHEN D.L.

150

Bush-4

12/14

A word on chemical weapons. You know, I've seen a few things in my time. But I thought we had banished forever the sight of human beings tortured by chemical warfare. The sight of a mother trying desperately to shield her child against the drifting winds of death.

That's why an international effort to make sure chemical weapons are never -- never used again will be at the top of my agenda as President!

Let me sum it up. Eight years ago, strategic partnership with Israel was a dream of many of those who came into the Reagan-Bush Administration. Today, we've translated it into reality. And this is my pledge: the American-Israeli strategic partnership is going to be even stronger tomorrow!

We need that partnership not least to deter a Soviet Union still building its military strength in the area. Talk to the sailors and the pilots of our Sixth Fleet as they contemplate the expansion of Soviet sea power, recently reinforced by the Soviet development of a base at Tartus, in Syria. They know Israel as a friendly place and they are reassured knowing better knowing that Israel and the United States are on the same side!

The US-Israel partnership is fundamental for another reason. As Foreign Minister Peres said, "Israel has never asked the United States for its soldiers, only for its diplomats and peacemakers." Our partnership holds the key to peace in the Middle East.

We all know that the peace process is at a difficult stage. No one can foretell where the tragic events of recent months will lead. Yet I believe that we can make progress toward peace if we follow these principles:

First, US-Israeli cooperation is fundamental to our strategic interests. No threat, no stone thrown, is strong enough to divide us. No wedge will be driven between us.

Second, peace will be achieved through direct negotiations by the parties. It cannot be imposed. It cannot be evaded. The United States stands ready to help such a negotiation, as we have done on a bipartisan basis since the early seventies. But we shall not be party to a proceeding by the U.N. or any other international group to deny Israel's legitimacy or to force her to accept a bad deal.

Third, the purpose of a negotiation is real peace. Peace for Israel. Peace for her neighbors. That's what it is all about. We have stood together for too long through too many crises with too many lives sacrificed to settle for an armistice, or a temporary truce or a false peace. Egypt has shown the way. It's time for others to follow.

MO RE

↓

150

Bush-5^s

13/14

Fourth, as George Shultz has said, the Palestinians must be involved at every step in the negotiations. There will be no peace without them. It's their choice to help end the misery into which this region has been plunged.

As for the PLO, I will insist that it accept UN Resolution 242, recognize Israel's existence, abandon terrorism, and change its covenant calling for Israel's destruction, before we have any discussions with that organization. I will insist not only because we have made a promise to Israel, but because it is the right thing to do.

If the PLO cannot meet these principled conditions, then it is obviously not capable of either negotiating or delivering peace.

The stakes are just too high to be satisfied by ambiguous formulations. We need plain talk. No nonsense. No equivocations.

And one other thing. I have made very clear that I am opposed to an independent Palestinian state for a simple reason. Such a state would be a threat to the security of Israel and of Jordan, which is crucial to any lasting settlement of the conflict. And, I would add, it would also be contrary to American interests.

Anyone who has trouble making up his mind on this issue, or who proposes to leave it open, just doesn't understand the dangers to Israel and to the United States; just doesn't understand the very real threats that continue to exist. My Administration would not support the creation of any Palestinian entity that would jeopardize Israel's security.

The creation of a Palestinian state will not lead to peace. But we must be clear on another matter, too. Neither will annexation of the territories by Israel or their permanent control by military occupation.

There has got to be another way, a better way, and that's what the peace process is all about.

I understand something about security, and in a Bush Administration we're not going to relax our vigilance on threats to the United States or to Israel. And I understand something about diplomacy, too. That's why I pledge to you here and now that we're going to find a way to peace, we're going to keep at it, it's going to be a priority for me as President!

-more-

150

Bush

6

last

14/14

Let me conclude on this note. In a few days, the Jewish people will be marking a new year. That begins the period of repentance, which concludes with Yom Kippur, the Day of Atonement.

It's a time of soul-searching, something we should all do on occasion, even in Washington.

It's also a time for rededication to God and to our fellow human beings. To realize that our ideals are worked out here on earth, between each other.

Perhaps it would not be too much to say that in a democracy, an election serves a similar purpose. At its best, the process reminds us of what our country is all about. Of our traditions, the principles that guide us in everyday life. Of our future and how to make the best of it.

That's what this election is all about. Building on the peace and prosperity of these last eight years, expanding it to those who deserve more of it, here in America and in the Middle East and other areas of a disturbed world.

And it's about something more. At the Republican Convention, I declared that I hoped to stand for a new harmony, a greater tolerance. We're on the way to a new century and it's time at last to leave the tired old bigotry behind. There is no room in this country for racism or for anti-Semitism. Not in New York, not in Chicago, not anywhere in this great country! It's

the duty of every American, especially those who aspire to leadership to condemn it wherever and whenever it appears. I condemn anti-Semitism now and I will always condemn it!

So, I've come here today to tell you of my determination to eradicate bigotry, my dedication to spreading prosperity, my determination to spread the peace, to energize the peace process in the Middle East, so that Israel and her neighbors can fulfill at last the vision of turning swords into plowshares.

That's why I'm here today. To define that mission and to declare my dedication.

I ask for your help as I work for a better America.

Thank you.

0 0 0 0

END

Bush

18

שגרירות ישראל בווינגטון
טופס מברק

151

דף 1. מתוך 5.
דחיפות: מיידית
סוג: גלוי

תאריך, זמן: 8.9.99
מספר המברק:

המשרד:

אל: מצפ"א, מע"ת, הסברה

דע: י. רוה"מ לתקשורת

מאת: עתונות

המועמדים לנשיאות בועידת בני-ברית

להלן מה"וושינגטון פוסט" וה"וושינגטון טיימס" בנושא, 8.9.88.

Bush, Dukakis vie for Jewish votes

By Ralph Z. Holloway
and Isaiah J. Poole
THE WASHINGTON TIMES

BALTIMORE — The paths of presidential contenders George Bush and Michael Dukakis met for the first time during the 1988 campaign yesterday as they made separate appearances here before the B'Nai B'rith national convention.

The speeches by the men seeking the White House came on a day when a new poll showed Mr. Bush extending his lead to eight points. The latest ABC News-Washington Post poll found Mr. Bush leading Mr. Dukakis 51 percent to 43 percent.

The survey of 1,104 likely voters was conducted Aug. 31 to Sept. 6 and had a margin of error of 3.5 percent. Mr. Dukakis had enjoyed a 17 point lead in the race in July.

Mr. Bush told his listeners — influential Jewish business and professional leaders from across the country — that he opposes the creation of a Palestinian state in the occupied territories of Jordan and Egypt.

Handwritten notes in Hebrew:

עיתונות
הסברה
בני ברית
מברק
גלוי
מיידית
מאת: עתונות
דע: י. רוה"מ לתקשורת
אל: מצפ"א, מע"ת, הסברה

154

215

Mr. Bush also said he opposes Israel's annexation or permanent military control of the territories and said he favors direct negotiations by the parties to the Middle East conflict that has evaded solution for hundreds of years.

But he specifically excluded the Palestine Liberation Organization and said he would continue doing so until it recognizes Israel's right to exist and abandons terrorism.

"If the PLO cannot meet these principled conditions, then it is obviously not capable of either negotiating or delivering peace," Mr. Bush said to applause.

Mr. Bush also was applauded when he said, "The creation of a Palestinian state will not lead to peace." The audience listened silently, however, when he added: "But we must be clear on another matter, too. Neither will annexation of the territories by Israel or their permanent control by military occupation [bring peace]."

While not including the PLO among the parties to a negotiated settlement, Mr. Bush said he agreed with Secretary of State George Shultz that "the Palestinians must be involved at every step in the negotiations."

"We shall not be party to a proceeding by the United Nations or any other international group to deny Israel's legitimacy or to force her to accept a bad deal," Mr. Bush said.

The vice president drew his warmest applause when he said, "There is no room in this country for racism or for anti-Semitism. It's the duty of every American, especially those who aspire to leadership, to condemn it wherever and whenever it appears."

He also was applauded when he interrupted his prepared speech to

note approvingly a sign in the auditorium addressed to Soviet leader Michael Gorbachev.

The sign said, "Mr. Gorbachev: Where do Soviet Jews apply for Glasnost?"

In his speech to the group, Mr. Dukakis mirrored several of Mr. Bush's positions, including a declaration that as president he would "never recognize a unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state."

But the Democratic nominee said Mr. Bush has supported actions that threaten Israel's security.

Mr. Dukakis called Israel, "like the United States... a special place" and said he wanted to continue the "special relationship" between the



two nations by "providing generous levels of military and economic aid" and by refusing to sell to any nation "any weapons that would threaten the security of Israel."

He attacked President Reagan for vetoing funding for the ARROW anti-missile system requested by Israel to defend itself against Arab tactical ballistic missiles.

"He vetoed it as a favor to George Bush, I'm sorry to say," Mr. Dukakis said, "but that veto was no favor to Israel. Lloyd Bentsen [his running mate] and I will never play politics with Israel's security."

Mr. Dukakis said the White House and Republican congressmen have supported arms sales to various

Arab nations "that refuse to make peace with Israel. George Bush has supported those sales, and Dan Quayle has voted for them. Lloyd Bentsen and I are going to say 'no' to Arab shopping lists that endanger the security of Israel."

He also said he would support Israel's sovereignty over Jerusalem and called "absurd" an attempt by U.S. Trade Representative Clayton Yuetter to curtail Israel's trade with the United States because of alleged violations of labor rights.

And, referring to Mr. Reagan's 1985 trip to a West German town where members of the Nazi SS are buried, Mr. Dukakis added, "And there will be no trips to Bitburg."

Mr. Dukakis criticized the PLO, saying it showed its "true face" last month when it launched a terrorist attack in Haifa that injured 25 people.

He said the PLO must renounce terrorism "in word and deed" and added, "A Dukakis administration will never recognize a unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state or government in exile."

Earlier yesterday, Mr. Dukakis said in a New Jersey speech that Mr. Bush stood silent while the Reagan administration tried "to slam the door of college opportunity" for young people. The Democratic nominee proposed his own broad loan program aimed at middle class students.

"Where was George?" Mr. Dukakis asked, renewing the Democrats' cry from the national convention in Atlanta in July. "George was right there, all the time, not doing and not saying a thing," he said.

"And where was George Bush while his administration was doing its best to slam the door of college



151

3/5

opportunity in the face of millions of young people across the country?" Mr. Dukakis asked.

"Where was he while his administration was denying middle income families the hope for a future of prosperity and success for their children?" he asked.

Mr. Dukakis described his loan proposal as "entirely independent from Social Security, but based on the same principles." He called it STARS, for Student Tuition and Repayment.

The program would provide federal guarantees to back bank loans to college students in return for the students' agreement to repay the loans with payment withheld automatically from their future earnings.

Under the Dukakis proposal, as described by advisers who said the plan would not increase the federal deficit, students would agree to repayment plans based on a percentage of their earnings, with payments extending for the rest of their working lives.

Mr. Bush has proposed allowing parents to earn interest tax-free on U.S. savings bonds to help pay for college, but he has not suggested any overhaul of the loan program.

The Reagan administration has already launched a small, experimental income-contingent loan program with repayments pegged to students' income in later life. Congress balked last year at a major expansion of the program, now being tried at 10 campuses.

Mr. Bush stumbled during a speech earlier yesterday to 5,000 members of the American Legion meeting in Louisville, Ky., when he mistakenly talked of Sept. 7, 1941, as the day the Japanese launched their surprise attack on the American naval base at Pearl Harbor.

"Today, you remember — I wonder how many Americans remember — is Pearl Harbor Day," Mr. Bush said, pausing for dramatic emphasis. "Forty-seven years ago, this very day," he continued, "we were hit and hit hard at Pearl Harbor, and we were not ready."

As a scattering of titters began to ripple through the audience, he plunged ahead, oblivious to his error. "In a Bush presidency, that lesson [of Pearl Harbor] would not be forgotten," he said.

A few sentences later, noting heads shaking in the audience and hearing some Legionnaires shout out the correct date, he caught himself, laughed and said to applause: "Did I say Sept. 7? I'm sorry about that. Dec. 7, 1941."

Mr. Bush received an endorsement from an unexpected source yesterday when China's senior leader, Deng Xiaoping, surprised U.S. officials by saying he hoped the vice president would win in November.

• This article includes material from wire service reports.

*

151

4/5

In a speech tonight in Baltimore to the international convention of B'nai B'rith, Dukakis sought to shore up his support among Jewish voters, pledging to strengthen U.S.-Israeli military and economic ties, to maintain "generous levels" of U.S. aid to Israel and to refuse to sell weapons "that would threaten the security of Israel to any nation."

The Massachusetts governor said, in his speech to the Jewish service organization, that one of his first acts as president would be to appoint a special envoy "to convince Arab leaders to negotiate peace with Israel." Rejecting a role for the Palestine Liberation Organization in Middle East peace negotiations, Dukakis added that he will "never recognize a unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state or government in exile," as is reportedly being considered by leaders of the Palestinian uprising in the occupied West Bank and Gaza Strip.

As he struggles to regain the initiative in his contest with Bush, Dukakis has made the centerpiece of his campaign what he calls "the middle-class squeeze" under administration economic policies. But he has continued to come under criticism for failing to explain how he would achieve his domestic policy goals without increasing the deficit. Among those goals is helping all qualified students obtain a college education.

Today, Dukakis responded with the loan proposal that his aides said would not cost tax money. His press secretary, Dayton Duncan, said it

Dukakis told the B'nai B'rith convention that he would maintain "generous levels" of U.S. aid to Israel.

was designed to appeal to "the children of the middle class" who may be ineligible for government education grants and reluctant to saddle themselves with huge loans they have to repay soon after graduating from college.

Dukakis aides had difficulty explaining the complex plan and provided conflicting versions of some of its provisions. But the key feature of the Dukakis proposal is the lifetime repayment mechanism. Through this device, Dukakis aides said, new graduates would not be overwhelmed with loan repayment obligations as they entered the work force, and would repay more as their incomes rose over the years. The automatic withholding aspect of the plan would also sharply reduce the number of student loan defaults, they said.

Under the proposal, the loans would be made by private lending institutions but guaranteed by the government. Interest rates on the loans would be set by the lending institutions based on market conditions.

The repayment obligation of students would be established annually based on calculations of their future earnings and the amount needed to retire the loans issued that year over the students' working lifetimes.

For example, according to Dukakis aides, the repayment rate could be set at 1/8 of one percent for each \$1,000 of a loan. For an \$8,000 loan, such a schedule would commit a loan recipient to repay one percent of his or her income during a working lifetime. A \$16,000 loan would result in the withholding of 2 percent of annual income to repay the loan.

For someone earning \$50,000 a year, withholding on an \$8,000 loan at this rate would be \$500 annually and on a \$16,000 loan it would be \$1,000. At an annual income of \$25,000, the yearly withholding would be \$250 on an \$8,000 loan and \$500 on a \$16,000 loan.

Dukakis aides said the plan would also include a "buyout" provision, allowing people to repay in a lump sum the loan plus an unspecified premium. The plan also would have an income cap, above which the annual withholding rate would not apply. Loan recipients whose payments reach the buyout level through annual withholding would also have their repayment obligation canceled.

The government would collect the repayments through the withholding system and reimburse lending institutions that issued the loans. Dukakis aides said the government guarantee and elimination of collection costs for the institutions would make the loans an attractive investment for banks and others.

✱

Bush Tells Jewish Group He Opposes Palestinian State

Later, addressing the B'nai B'rith convention here, Bush referred to a statement Dukakis made earlier this year in which the Massachusetts governor left open the possibility that he could accept a Palestinian state.

On April 11 in New York, Dukakis said, "It's the parties who are negotiating who have to make those judgments" on the issue of an independent Palestinian state. Later, Dukakis modified this and told the Los Angeles Times that "as a practical matter," a Palestinian state could not happen if Israel and Jordan rejected it. Tonight in Baltimore, Dukakis told B'nai B'rith that he will "never recognize a unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state or government in exile," as is reportedly being considered by some Palestinians.

The Reagan administration policy has been to reject the prospect of a separate state and advocate a Palestinian homeland on the West Bank in confederation with Jordan, a plan that has never materialized.

"I have made very clear that I am opposed to an independent Palestinian state for a very simple reason," Bush said. "Such a state would be a threat to the security of Israel and of Jordan, which is crucial to any lasting settlement of the conflict. And, I would add, it would also be contrary to America's interests."

"Anyone who has trouble making up his mind on this issue, or who proposes to leave it open, just doesn't understand the dangers to Israel and the United States, just doesn't understand the very real threats that continue to exist," he said.

"My administration would not support the creation of any Palestinian entity, that would jeopardize the security of our strategic ally, Israel," Bush said. He added that peace would also not be achieved by "annexation of the territories by Israel or their permanent control by military occupation."

Bush avoided specific comment on Israel's handling of the recent Palestinian uprising in the occupied territories. He said he supported statements by Secretary of State George P. Shultz that "the Pales-

tinians must be involved at every step in the negotiations. There will be no peace without them. It's their choice to help end the misery into which this region has been plunged."

Bush reiterated the longstanding U.S. demand that before any negotiations, the Palestine Liberation Organization must accept U.N. Resolution 242, recognize Israel's right to exist, abandon terrorism and "change its covenant" calling for Israel's destruction. Bush also said the U.N. General Assembly had made itself "irrelevant" by passing a resolution equating Zionism with racism.

Meanwhile, the weekly Washington Jewish Week announced publication of an article showing that an ethnic coalition appointed to the Bush campaign includes "an anti-Semite and Holocaust revisionist as well as people with apparent fascist associations." The weekly said some members of the coalition have been "longtime agitators" against the Office of Special Investigations, the Justice Department's Nazi-hunting unit.

In his remarks to B'nai B'rith, Bush pledged to support budget increases for the office and said he is committed to its work. Bush campaign chairman James A. Baker III said in a statement: "There is no place in this campaign for anti-Semitism, racism, bigotry or people who espouse those views. Any individuals who espouse those views will not be welcome in this campaign."

On the minimum wage, Bush did not say exactly how much of an increase he would propose but indicated it would be a compromise between the administration, which has rejected an increase, and legislation pending in Congress. According to Knight-Ridder, Bush endorsed a sub-minimum wage "training differential" for teen-agers and other new hires.

His move appears to be an effort to neutralize charges from Dukakis that Republicans have been insensitive to the hardships felt by working-class voters.

In the interview, Bush would not discuss the legislation; a House bill would raise the minimum to \$5.05 an hour by 1992, while a Senate version would raise it to \$4.55 by 1991.

Reagan to Confer With Egyptian, Israeli Aides Talks at U.N. Aimed at Reviving Peace Process; Shamir Forces See Electoral Interference

By John M. Goshko
Washington Post Staff Writer

President Reagan, in a new bid to revive the moribund Middle East peace process, has invited the foreign ministers of Israel and Egypt to meet him in New York Sept. 26, sparking controversy about whether the invitation amounts to U.S. interference in Israel's national elections scheduled Nov. 1.

The move, which became known unofficially yesterday, brought charges by supporters of Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir that Washington is trying to boost the election prospects of Foreign Min-

ister Shimon Peres because his views about the nature of a Middle East peace settlement approximate those of Reagan and Secretary of State George P. Shultz.

Peres's Labor alignment and Shamir's Likud bloc, uneasy partners for four years in Israel's coalition government, are pitted in a campaign whose main issue is the status of Palestinian-inhabited lands captured from Jordan in the 1967 Middle East war.

Reagan plans to be in New York Sept. 26 to address the U.N. General Assembly. U.S. and diplomatic sources said he and Shultz want to

meet with Peres and Egyptian Foreign Minister Esmat Abdel-Meguid to discuss the next steps since Jordan's King Hussein severed ties with the Israeli-occupied West Bank and Gaza Strip.

Sources said Peres and Abdel-Meguid have accepted the invitation.

Shultz and Peres have advocated a "land for peace" solution to the Mideast conflict based on returning control of the territories to Jordan. Hopes for that were set back last month when Hussein renounced his claims to the territories and recognized the Palestine Liberation Or-

WP-Cont. P. 2/3

3/3

152

015

ganization as sole representative of the inhabitants.

Peres and Shultz also have been frustrated by Shamir's refusal to accept the idea of a territorial compromise with Jordan. The Likud has based much of its electoral hopes on appealing to Israeli voters who believe that the territories should remain under Israeli control.

When news of the U.S. invitation leaked yesterday, Likud leaders charged that the Reagan administration is trying to strengthen Peres at Shamir's expense. Some demanded that the New York meeting be canceled.

However, Peres, noting that his views about the best solution for the Arab-Israeli conflict are well known, said in a statement: "I am very glad of the opportunity to meet with the Americans and the Egyptians at such a high level to continue the exploration of ways to maintain and pursue the peace process."

Diplomatic sources said the idea of the meeting has been discussed by the three governments for three weeks. Although no formal announcement has been made, the sources said that an invitation was sent to Peres Tuesday through the Israeli Embassy here and that the bid to Abdel-Meguid was conveyed yesterday to Egyptian Ambassador Raouf El Reedy.

The sources said that, in the U.S. view, the participants should consider such steps as an interim autonomy plan for the territories that

could maintain hope for peace until new administrations are in place in Israel and the United States and new efforts can be made to bring Hussein into the process.

Jordan's withdrawal has unleashed major debate within the Arab world about whether the PLO should attempt to establish a government-in-exile. Israel rejects PLO representation of the Palestinians, and the United States has reiterated that it will not deal with the PLO unless it recognizes Israel's right to exist and ceases use of terrorism.

Egypt had supported Israeli negotiations with Jordan. But it also has urged the United States to deal with the PLO and has been active recently in floating the idea that the PLO could gain considerable international support for a government-in-exile if it offered to recognize Israel.

Reagan seeks new talks on Mideast

THE WASHINGTON TIMES

WT

President Reagan, in an eleventh hour bid to breathe new life into Secretary of State George Shultz' deadlocked Middle East peace plan, has invited the Israeli and Egyptian foreign ministers to meet him in New York this month.

Mr. Reagan has asked Israel's Shimon Peres and Egypt's Ismet Abdel Meguid to meet him in New York on Sept. 26 where Mr. Reagan will be making his last address to the United Nations General Assembly.

The invitation provoked irritation in Israel where members of the Likud bloc, staunch opponents of Mr. Peres' Labor Party, claimed the United States was interfering in Israel's elections that are set for Nov. 1.

Mr. Shultz has made five fruitless visits to the Middle East this year to win acceptance for a plan to bring peace to the Israelis and the Arabs. The plan, prompted by the Palestinian uprising, calls for an interim period of self-rule for the Palestinians living in the occupied West Bank and the Gaza Strip, followed by negotiations on their final status.

The State Department's top Middle East expert, Assistant Secretary of State Richard Murphy, signaled renewed U.S. interest in the plan in a tough speech in Baltimore Monday to a convention of the B'nai B'rith International.

Mr. Murphy warned that "time is not on Israel's side" and that its security could not depend solely on "military strength and an iron fist."

In Israel yesterday, Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir of the Likud suggested that Washington is meddling in Israeli affairs by inviting Mr. Peres, his political rival, to a Mideast peace summit one month before Israel's election.

"At this hour, I still don't have all the details on the meeting," Mr. Shamir said. "I will just say one thing. We must not forget that we are on the eve of elections."

Senior Likud officials went further in their criticism.

"This is a bad joke," said Uri Oren, political adviser to Deputy Prime Minister David Levy of Likud. "It is astonishing evidence of improper policy, both external and internal."

"Everybody understands that such a meeting cannot advance any political process several weeks" before the election, he told The Associated Press.



** דרצא

סודי

**

**

**

חוזם: 9,4994

אל: דוש/156, קובי/137

מ-: המשרד, תא: 080988, זח: 1548, דח: ר, טג: סו

תח: גט: מצמא

נד: @

סודי/רגיל

אל: השגריר - הגיר.

GSP-USTR.

א. מינרבי שמע ממר NOBLE איש האגף הנכללי של מחמ'ד הנמצא בארץ לרגל השיחות הנכלליות, כי ארמקוטט ביטל את התנגדות מחמ'ד לכלול את יו'ש ועזה בשימוש של יוטר. כלומר מחמ'ד שוב אינו מתנגד שמצב האיגודים והערבדים בשטחים יעלה בשימוש. עוד אמר NOBLE שהיתה גם התערבות מצד משרדו של טגן הנשיא בוש להסרת התנגדות מחמ'ד ובעד קיום השימוש.

האם תוכלו לברר אם זה נכון ומהי טיבת ההתערבות השלילית של ארמקוטט ובוש?


ב. אם נגזר סופית שיתקיים שימוש, יש למעול נגד היזמה של ADC ויוטר לדחייתו לנובמבר. לנו יש ענין שיוערך באוקטובר כפי שנקבע מראש.

מנהל מצמ'א

יא

נד

תפ: שהח, דהמ, שהוט, מנכל, ממנכל, ר/מרנז, רט, אמן, ממז, בירן, מצמא, מינרבי, ליאור, מזתים, מתאס, שטחים



דחיפות: מידד	שגרירות ישראל/וואשינגטון טופס פרוק	דף: 1
סוג: גלרי		כתוב: 5
תאריך וזמן חבור: 14:30 - 8 ספטמבר 88	מצפ"א	אל:
פס' פרוק: הפסד:		דע:
164	ער - ע. ער	כאת:

סטנור קוויל.

להלן פירוט שמוציא מטה הבחירות הרפובליקני, על עמדות
המועמד לסגן הנשיא בנושא ישראל ומז"ת.

קו 318
ער

2 1 1 2 1 1 3 2
הסברה מאת א.ב.סל מאת מאת ביין מאת מאת יהא מאת
כאמר מאת מאת

תפוצה:

2/5

164

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SENATOR DAN QUAYLE

QUESTION #1:

WHAT HAS BEEN DAN QUAYLE'S RECORD ON STRATEGIC COOPERATION WITH ISRAEL?

ANSWER:

- o Senator Quayle has been a supporter of a strong U.S.-Israel bilateral relationship. As a member of the Senate Armed Services Committee, he has been a leader in advancing strategic cooperation between the United States and Israel, most notably the "Arrow" anti-tactical ballistic missile program. The ATBM, in particular, is at the technological leading edge of strategic cooperation. This missile based defense system will help to protect Israel from Soviet-made SS-21 short-range missiles deployed by Syria, as well as other missiles that are beginning to proliferate in the area. The Syrians currently have a chemical weapon capacity that they can use with their missiles.

As Quayle himself has pointed out, "since the war in 1973, Egypt, Iraq, Iran, Libya and Syria have fired between 160 and 245 tactical missiles in military operations. The threat to Israel, therefore, is real, not speculative."

- o Quayle travelled to Israel in 1983 and 1987 to discuss strategic cooperation between the U.S. and Israel. As an active member of the Armed Services Committee, he played a significant role in the enactment of many pro-Israel items contained in recent defense authorization bills. Specifically, in the FY'87 Defense Authorization bill, Senator Quayle authored the Quayle Amendment which extended to Israel the same opportunity for cooperative armaments projects as afforded to NATO allies. In addition, Quayle was instrumental in securing \$50 million for the joint U.S.-Israel development of an anti-tactical ballistic missile system. In the FY'89 Defense Authorization bill, he worked for the consolidation of the ATBM and Extended Air Defense programs which ensured funding for the Israel "Arrow" project, currently set at \$100 million to \$130 million. Most recently, Senator Quayle helped to achieve the signing of a historic Memorandum of Agreement, which formalized U.S.-Israel cooperation on the "Arrow" project. He also helped lead

*

164

3/5

legislative efforts to designate Israel as a Major Non-NATO Ally of the United States. This will provide Israel with significant export opportunities for U.S. defense procurement needs and is of vital assistance to Israel's military research capability.

- o Senator Quayle was also active on behalf of the free trade agreement between Israel and the U.S.

QUESTION #2:

WHAT HAS BEEN SENATOR QUAYLE'S RECORD ON FOREIGN AID?

ANSWER:

- o Senator Quayle has never questioned the need to continue assistance to Israel and Egypt, but has questioned the management of foreign aid. For instance, in 1982 he voted to increase assistance levels above the Administration request for aid to Israel. In 1985, Senator Quayle voted for the Lugar Amendment to the Foreign Assistance and Authorization Bill approving \$1.5 billion for supplemental aid to Israel. His few votes against major foreign assistance have focused on concern that not enough has been provided to our friends on a people-to-people basis. His most recent vote against the Senate version of the foreign aid appropriations bill, on July 7, was a protest against the handling of the bill and the floor manager's unwillingness to receive Senator Quayle's amendment calling for restrictions on Chinese sales of ballistic missile technology to Saudi Arabia, Syria and Iran. When Senator Quayle cast this vote of protest he made it clear that he would support the conference report when it returns to the Senate.

QUESTION #3:

WHAT HAS BEEN SENATOR QUAYLE'S RECORD ON ARMS SALES IN THE MIDDLE EAST?

ANSWER:

- o Senator Quayle has consistently counseled the Administration against making major sales of arms that might destabilize the Middle East. He has stood by the President when requests have made it through committee and reached the Senate floor.
 - o Senator Quayle reluctantly supported the 1981 sale of AWACS and F-15 enhancement equipment to Saudi Arabia only after he got Administration agreement to a series of restrictive conditions. In addition, when the President vetoed the Senate Resolution disapproving the 1986 Saudi missile sale, Senator Quayle supported the President and voted to sustain the veto. On the other hand, Senator Quayle did cosponsor a resolution opposing the sale of advanced fighter aircraft to Jordan until King Hussein joined the peace process. And in 1983 he cosponsored a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that the United States should proceed with the sale of F-16s to Israel.
- *

164

4/5

- o Finally, Senator Quayle has been concerned with the proliferation of sophisticated missiles in the Middle East. In 1986 Senator Quayle released the first comprehensive, unclassified report on ballistic missile proliferation in the Third World. This report, the first of three (the most recent of which was released June 24, 1988) was the only one used by the State Department to brief the public on the Missile Technology Control Regime in April 1987. He also specified sanctions against the People's Republic of China's continued sales of dangerous missile technology to Middle East and Persian Gulf nations by tying any further loosening of COCOM regulations and implementation of the U.S.-PRC Nuclear Accord to the PRC's adherence to the guidelines of the Missile Technology Control Regime.

QUESTION #4:**WHAT HAS BEEN DAN QUAYLE'S VIEW TOWARD THE PLO?****ANSWER:**

- o From the moment Senator Quayle entered Congress he has been a strong opponent of terrorism. Most recently, Senator Quayle sponsored the Anti-Terrorism Act of 1987, a bill to close down the PLO offices in the United States. Following a 1986 PLO grenade attack at the Western Wall in Jerusalem, Quayle signed a telegram to the President, along with 9 other Senators, urging him to close down the Palestine Information Office (PIO) in Washington. The telegram stated, "We are outraged that an organization whose mandate is terror is allowed to operate freely in our nation's capital."

In 1986, Senator Quayle signed a letter to Secretary of State Shultz requesting that PLO Chairman Yasser Arafat be denied a visa to enter the U.S. to speak at the U.N.

- o In 1981, Senator Quayle introduced a "sense of the Senate" resolution calling for the extradition to Israel of Ziad Abu Eain, who was accused of planting a bomb that killed 2 children and injured 36 other civilians in Israel. The resolution asked the Secretary of State to do "whatever is necessary to ensure that Ziad Abu Eain is extradited to stand trial for the murders with which he has been charged."
- o In 1977, Senator Quayle cosponsored a resolution on France's release of the terrorist Abu Daoud which stated that Daoud, "violates the spirit of international law and morality and encourages terrorism and a disregard of laws protecting citizens of all countries."

164 5/5
QUESTION #5:

WHAT OTHER ACTION HAS SENATOR QUAYLE TAKEN OF INTEREST TO THE JEWISH COMMUNITY?

ANSWER:

- o Senator Quayle has supported legislative and other efforts on behalf of Soviet citizens seeking permission to emigrate from the Soviet Union. In 1983 Senator Quayle cosponsored a resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the Soviet Union should fulfill obligations undertaken in certain international agreements relating to human rights by pursuing a more humane emigration policy and by ceasing harassment of Jews and others seeking to emigrate. Senator Quayle sees Soviet fulfillment of internationally recognized emigration rights as vital part of U.S.-Soviet relations.

Senator Quayle was personally involved in the decision by Soviet authorities to release Irina McClellan in 1985 so that she could join her husband in the United States.

- o On December 6, 1987, Senator Quayle, his wife and children, participated in the rally in Washington with over 250,000 people from across the country to express support and solidarity for Soviet refuseniks who had been released and for those who remained in custody against their will. Two days earlier representatives acting in Senator Quayle's behalf delivered petitions to the Soviet embassy in Washington urging consideration of four outstanding refuseniks cases. Vladimir Prestin, Alex Ioffi, Yuri Balovleskov, and Iryne Antonov were all granted permission to emigrate.

Senator Quayle participated in the Congressional Call to Conscience Vigil for Soviet Jewry in 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, and 1988 on behalf of Alexander Pevzier, Solidarity Week for Soviet Jewry, Irina McClellan, Vladimir Prestin, and Yullen Khasin.

- o Senator Quayle is a member of Congressional Friends of Human Rights Monitors and the Indiana Delegation for Soviet Jewry, which coordinates efforts between Indiana members of Congress and has worked together on the case of Vladimir and Izolda Tufeld.
 - o In April 1983 Quayle co-sponsored a resolution urging President Reagan to reconsider his scheduled visit to Bitburg West German Military Cemetery where Nazi SS soldiers are buried.
 - o Senator Quayle also supported legislation which condemns passage of the U.N. Resolution which equates Zionism with racism.
- 4

HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH

AMERICAS WATCH • ASIA WATCH • HELSINKI WATCH
36 WEST 44TH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10036 (212) 840-9460
739 EIGHTH ST. SE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003 (202) 546-9336

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

ROBERT L. BERNSTEIN
Chairman

ADRIAN DEWIND
Vice Chairman

ROLAND ALGRANT
DOROTHY CULLMAN
JACK GREENBERG
ALICE H. HENKIN
STEPHEN KASS
MATTHEW NIMETZ
BRUCE RABB

HELSINKI WATCH

JERI LABER
Executive Director

CATHERINE FITZPATRICK
Research Director

JANET FLEISCHMAN
Program Coordinator

ASIA WATCH

ERIC SCHWARTZ
Program Director

AMERICAS WATCH

JUAN MENDEZ
Washington Director

CYNTHIA BROWN
Associate Director

JEMERA RONE
Counsel

ANNE MANUEL
Reports Editor

2/2

137

ARYEH NEIER
Executive Director

KENNETH ROTH
Deputy Director

HOLLY BURKHALTER
Washington Representative

WENDY LUERS
Special Projects Director

SUSAN OSNOS
Press Director

JOANNA WESCHLER
Prisoner Watch Coordinator

BY FAX

September 6, 1988

Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir
c/o Embassy of Israel
3514 International Drive N.W.
Washington D.C. 20008

Dear Prime Minister Shamir:

Human Rights Watch protests the impending deportation of some 27 Palestinian residents from the West Bank and Gaza. We understand that two were ordered to be deported on July 8 and another 25 on August 17. Such deportations violate international law. The abuse of human rights is made all the more grave by the fact that the elements of due process -- charges, evidence, trial, right to a defense, right to confront and cross-examine witnesses, right to present witnesses, right to appeal to an impartial tribunal on the basis of a record -- have been denied to those facing deportation.

We call on you to abandon this practice and to rescind the deportation orders against these individuals.

Sincerely,

Aryeh Neier
Aryeh Neier

4379

משרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

תאריך : 08.09.88 *

דף 1 מתוך 2 *

עותק 1 מתוך 6 *

נכנס

סודי ביותר

**

**

**

חוזם: 9,4379 *

אל: המשרד *

מ-: ווש, נר: 112, תא: 070988, זח: 1210, דח: מ, סג: סב *

תח: @ גס: מנכל *

נד: @ *

סודי ביותר/מידי טפל *

אל: משנה למנכ'ל *

יועץ מדיני לשר *

דע: מנכ'ל-מקסיקו *

מאת: ציר -ע. ערן *

פגישת שה'ח בניו יורק *

בהמשך לשיחתנו הטלפונית (6.9) *

בשיחה הזכיר ווקר גם עשור לק'ד, וכן שמכתב דומה נמסר *

למגיד בסוף השבוע שעבר. לא שקלו הפניית מכתב דומה *

לשה'ח ירדן. *

*DEAR SHIMON

*DURING THE PAST SEVEN AND A HALF YEARS OUR TWO COUNTRIES

*HAVE WORKED CLOSELY TOGETHER ON A NUMBER OF ISSUES

*MOST IMPORTANTLY, OUR SERIOUS AND INTENSIVE EFFORT

*TO FIND A PATH TO PEACE IN THE MIDDLE EAST. I AM

*CONFIDENT THAT AFTER PRESIDENT REAGAN LEAVES OFFICE

*THE UNITED STATES AND ISRAEL WILL CONTINUE TO COOPERATE

*IN THE QUEST FOR PEACE.

*PRESIDENT REAGAN AND I WILL ATTEND THE UNITED NATIONS

1. The first part of the report is a summary of the work done during the year.

2. The second part is a detailed account of the work done during the year.

3. The third part is a summary of the work done during the year.

4. The fourth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

5. The fifth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

6. The sixth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

7. The seventh part is a summary of the work done during the year.

8. The eighth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

9. The ninth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

10. The tenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

11. The eleventh part is a summary of the work done during the year.

12. The twelfth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

13. The thirteenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

14. The fourteenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

15. The fifteenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

16. The sixteenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

17. The seventeenth part is a summary of the work done during the year.

משרד החוץ-מחלקת הקשר

2 דף *
2 מתוך *
6 מתוך *
1 עותק *

*GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN NEW YORK THIS AUTUMN. I HAVE
*ALREADY DISCUSSED WITH AMBASSADOR ARAD THE IDEA
*OF A MEETING THAT WOULD INCLUDE YOU, FOREIGN MINISTER
*ABDEL MEGUID, AND MYSELF. FOREIGN MINISTER ABDEL
*MEGUID ALSO FINDS THE IDEA OF A TRILATERAL MEETING
*ATTRACTIVE. PRESIDENT REAGAN WOULD PRESIDE AT OUR
*MEETING, WHICH WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EACH
*OF OUR GOVERNMENTS TO REAFFIRM OUR SHARED COMMITMENT
*TO PEACE AND TO THE CONCEPT THAT DIRECT NEGOTIATIONS
*WORK. IF THIS PROPOSAL IS ACCEPTABLE TO YOU, THE
*MEETING WOULD TAKE PLACE ON THE AFTERNOON OF SEPTEMBER
*26. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM YOU ABOUT THIS
*MATTER.

*SINCERELY,

*GEORGE P. SHULTZ.

*ערן.

*חן

*תפ: שהח, מנוכל, ממנוכל, בירן, מצפא

1402 F

8988

2000/05

An opportunity to reaffirm
our shared commitment
to peace, and the concept
that direct negotiations
work.

התאחדות העובדים

(התאחדות העובדים)

מחלקת חינוך וספורט

8/9/88

8988

John Becker told me that
you delivered ~~to~~ today the
invitation from Sec. Shultz
to Mr. Peres.

We would be happy
to get a copy. Do you
have one with you?

2/26/89
5/1/89

in. I don't - but
nothing very complicated -
invitation to meet the
afternoon of 9/26 in
NY w/ Shultz &
measured under "chain"
of President who
will be in NY for the
last week of his
office.

msf

דף: $\frac{1}{2}$	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון	דחפיות: מידר
כתוב: $\frac{2}{2}$	טופס פבוק	סוג: סוג
אל: ממ"ד, אירופה 3	תאריך: נוכח חגגור:	09:45 - 7 ספטמבר 88
דע: מצפ"א	פס' פבוק:	הפסד:
כאח: שג' וושינגטון	118	

שיחות ארמקוטס - וורונצוב: תהליך השלום. בהמשך לשלי מהירוס.

1. בדיון שהתקיים, הסכימו שני הצדדים כי המצב במזה"ת בלתי יציב ושצעדי המלך חוסיין מציבים בפני אש"ף אתגר.
2. הצד הסובייטי (וורונצוב, פוליאקוב וטרטוב) טען שיש בקרב אש"ף מגמה של חתמתנות המחייבת לדעתם תגובה מצד ישראל. לא הביעו דעתם ביחס לצעדים שעל ישראל לעשות.
3. אש"ף, לטענת הסובייטים, שוקל לעשות צעד כלשהו בכינוס המל"פ. ההצעות שנשקלות הן: הכרזה על הקמת מדינה על בסיס החלטה 181, הכרזה על הקמת ממשלה גולה או זמנית, וקריאה להעברת השטחים לידי האו"ס לתקופת מעבר.
4. הסובייטים הבהירו שתוכן ההחלטה שיקבל אש"ף חשוב יותר מאשר המועד שבו יקבלו החלטתה. בריה"מ לוחצת על האירגון בכיוון של התמתנות.
5. בתגובה טען הצד האמריקאי שההצעות שנשקלות על-ידי אש"ף הינן היפותטיות ואינן יורדות לשורש הבעיה. הדגישו כי לקידום התהליך חשוב שאש"ף יקבל בכינוס המל"פ החלטה אמיצה כמו קבלת 242 - הכרה בישראל וריתור על טרור.

2/...

תפ"ה ז':

36

2

3

61

1

1999

1

1

10

11

1

4

1

14

L

4

דמי פחות:	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פבוק	דף: 2
חשוב:		פתוך: 2
תאריך וזמן מעבור:		אל:
כס' פבוק:		דע:
הפסד:		כאח:
118		

6. בהתייחסם ליזמת שולץ, טענו הסובייטים שבמתכונתה הנוכחית, אינה עובדת. שאלו האם אין מקום לחשוב על שינוי. הצד האמריקאי בתגובה, הדגיש כי אין מקום לתיקון וכי זו היזמה היחידה המונחת כרגע על השולח.

7. לבקשת הסובייטים לפעול במשותף להחייאת תהליך השלום, הצביעו האמריקאים על חשיבות המשך הדיאלוג הבינממשלתי. באשר לסיוע, טענו כי אינם נזקקים לעזרתם.

8. בנוסף לשיחה לעיל, נועד ארמקוסט לשיחה נפרדת עם ברוטנס, עליה טרם קיבל דיווח.

שטייז

שם החל טרם גישא חין גבול / ארמקוסט

תפוצה: - 36 -

1988-09-07 14:43

*** KESHER NEW-YORK ***

1408129 01

מחלקת הקשר ניו-יורק

טופס מברק

96

1
5

מיידי

סוג כסחוני:

שמו

תז"ח:

071500

א ל : המשרד

נר :

0 0132

ד ע :

מאז : נאו"ס, ניו יורק

אל : מנהל ארכ"ל 2

מאת : יששכרופ

המצב במז"ח - ועידה כינ"ל

1. רצ"ב איגרת המזכ"ל המבקשת התייחסותנו להחלטת 42/209A בעניין הועידה הבינ"ל במסגרת הפריט המצב במז"ח. כזכור החלטה זו נתקבלה (עקב יוזמתה וחילה של ירון) בנוסף להחלטה על הועידה הכינ"ל שהועברה כמסגרת הפריט "שאלת פלסטין".

2. כמוכן ודאי זכור שבתחילת אפריל 1988 הופץ דו"ח המזכ"ל כאותו נושא במסגרת "שאלת פלסטין". ראו מברקנו נר 25 מה-4.4. דו"ח זה כלל תשובתה של ישראל, הרצ"ב לנוחיותכם.

3. ניתן לשקול שתי אופציות:

א. להכין תשובה חדשה המתייחסת ספציפית לפניה חדשה זו של המזכ"ל; או
ב. להפנות את המזכ"ל לתשובתנו הנ"ל תוך ציון שלא חל כל שינוי בעמדתנו בנושא.

4. נודה על הנחיותכם. עלינו להשיב למזכ"ל עד ל-20.9.

נאו"ס

אישור:

שם השולח: ג'רמי יששכרופ

תאריך: 7.9.1988

החלטה: 1. להכין תשובה חדשה המתייחסת ספציפית לפניה חדשה זו של המזכ"ל; או
2. להפנות את המזכ"ל לתשובתנו הנ"ל תוך ציון שלא חל כל שינוי בעמדתנו בנושא.

UNITED NATIONS



NATIONS UNIES

POSTAL ADDRESS—ADRESSE POSTALE UNITED NATIONS, N. Y. 10017
CABLE ADDRESS—ADRESSE TELEGRAPHIQUE UNATIONS NEWYORK

REFERENCE

0 0132 $\frac{2}{5}$

The Secretary-General of the United Nations presents his compliments to the Permanent Representative of Israel to the United Nations and has the honour to refer to resolution 42/209A, which was adopted by the General Assembly on 11 December 1987, concerning the convening of the International Peace Conference on the Middle East. The text of the resolution is enclosed.

Operative paragraph 3 of resolution 42/209A requests the Secretary-General, in consultation with the Security Council, to continue his efforts with a view to convening the Conference and to apprise the General Assembly of the results of his consultations no later than September 1988. In order to assist him in the preparation of his report to the General Assembly, the Secretary-General would be grateful to receive by 20 September 1988 an up-to-date statement of the position of the Government of Israel on the convening of the International Peace Conference on the Middle East.

Mia

2 September 1988

UNITED
NATIONS

SC10 0

A



General Assembly

Q 0132

3
5Distr.
GENERALA/RES/42/209
4 February 1988Forty-second session
Agenda item 39

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

[without reference to a Main Committee (A/42/L.41/Rev.1 and
Rev.1/Add.1, A/42/L.42 and Add.1, A/42/L.43 and Add.1,
A/42/L.44 and Add.1)]

42/209. The situation in the Middle East

A

The General Assembly,Having discussed the item entitled "The situation in the Middle East",Recalling its relevant resolutions on the question of Palestine and the
situation in the Middle East,Recalling also the relevant resolutions of the Security Council,Recalling the report of the Secretary-General of 13 November 1987, 1/Taking note with appreciation of the resolutions of the Extraordinary Arab
Summit Conference, held at Amman from 8 to 11 November 1987, on the Arab-Israeli
conflict and on the International Peace Conference on the Middle East,Taking note with appreciation of the growing international consensus in favour
of convening the Conference to resolve the Arab-Israeli conflict, of which the
question of Palestine is the core,1/ A/42/714-S/19249.

A/RES/42/209
Page 2

0 0132

 $\frac{4}{5}$

1. Reaffirms once again, ~~that the~~ convening of the International Peace Conference on the Middle East under the auspices of the United Nations and at the invitation of the Secretary-General of the United Nations, with the participation of the five permanent members of the Security Council and all the parties to the Arab-Israeli conflict, including the Palestine Liberation Organization, the sole legitimate representative of the Palestinian people, on an equal footing, is the appropriate way to a peaceful, comprehensive and just settlement of the conflict which will ensure the restoration of the occupied Arab territories and the solution of the Palestinian question in all its aspects and guarantee the realization of the inalienable national rights of the Palestinian Arab people;

2. Calls upon all States that have not done so to lend their support to the convening of the Conference;

3. Requests the Secretary-General, in consultation with the Security Council, to continue his efforts with a view to convening the Conference and to apprise the General Assembly of the results of his consultations no later than September 1988.

97th plenary meeting
11 December 1987

B

The General Assembly,

Having discussed the item entitled "The situation in the Middle East",

Reaffirming its resolutions 36/226 A and B of 17 December 1981, ES-9/1 of 5 February 1982, 37/123 A of 20 December 1982, 38/58 A to E of 13 December 1983, 38/180 A to D of 19 December 1983, 39/146 A to C of 14 December 1984, 40/168 A to C of 16 December 1985 and 41/162 A to C of 4 December 1986,

Recalling Security Council resolutions 425 (1978) of 19 March 1978, 497 (1981) of 17 December 1981, 508 (1982) of 5 June 1982, 509 (1982) of 6 June 1982, 511 (1982) of 18 June 1982, 512 (1982) of 19 June 1982, 513 (1982) of 4 July 1982, 515 (1982) of 29 July 1982, 516 (1982) of 1 August 1982, 517 (1982) of 4 August 1982, 518 (1982) of 12 August 1982, 519 (1982) of 17 August 1982, 520 (1982) of 17 September 1982, 521 (1982) of 19 September 1982 and 555 (1984) of 12 October 1984,

Taking note of the reports of the Secretary-General of 7 May 1987, 2/10 August 1987 3/ and 13 November 1987, 1/

2/ A/42/277-S/18849.

3/ A/42/465 and Add.1.

/...

132
5/5

"P. 608 - 148 - 657A 113
1988 5/5

Israel

"In reference to the Secretary-General's note of 9 March 1988 regarding General Assembly resolution 42/66 D adopted on 2 December 1987, Israel voted against this resolution and those mentioned in the first preambular paragraph thereof.

"Israel has consistently objected to these General Assembly resolutions, as the International Conference proposed in resolution 38/58 C clearly contradicts the principle of direct negotiations between Israel and its neighbours and Security Council resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973), which are not even mentioned therein.

"In this context, it is pointed out that Israel does not recognize the 'PLO' as a partner to peace negotiations, particularly in view of that organization's declared negation of the State of Israel.

"In addition, Israel objects to the proposal of a preparatory committee with the participation of the permanent members of the Security Council, which would have any authority to determine the agenda and procedure of negotiations between Israel and its neighbours, and convene a conference that could impose solutions.

/...

"The objection of Israel to the international conference proposed in resolution 42/66 D and its preceding resolutions, does not contradict Israel's desire to conduct direct negotiations with its neighbours within an international framework agreeable to the sides involved in those direct negotiations."

Jordan

דתיקות:	שגרירות ישראל / וושינגטון טופס פרוק	קף: 1
סוג: ט ו ד י		כתוב:
תאריך וזמן העבודה: 7.9.88 - 18:00		אל: סמנכ"ל מזתי"ס מצפ"א מצרים
כס' פרוק:		דצ:
הפסד: 140		כאח: הציר, וושינגטון

מפול הייר ..

- א. שגריר מצרים כאן ביקש הפגישה עם שולץ היום בנושאים כלכליים. מצרים זועמת על החלטת קרן המטבע הבינלאומית שלא לאשר תכנית להפקת חשמל. ארה"ב עומדת מאחורי החלטת הקרן ונראה שאל-רידי התבקש לשכנע את הממשל לתמוך בתכנית המצרית.
- ב. השתתפות הנשיא ריגן בפגישה המשולשת של שרי החוץ באה בעקבות החלטתו לסיים ב"פרופיל גבוה" את כהונתו. הוא ביקש למשל לראות אישים רבים בניו-יורק. מעבר לבקשות מחמ"ד.
- ג. לבנון - הוא רואה סכנה מוחשית שתקומנה שתי ממשלות בלבנון. האחת שתוקם ע"פ החוקה בהעדר נשיא נבחר והשניה של הכוחות השונים שיתנגדו למהלך כזה. במקרה כזה הוא צופה ארוזיה מוחלטת גם של מוסדות מרכזיים אחרים כמו הבנק המרכזי ומה שנותר מצל"ב.
- ד. שטחים - הייר ביקש להעלות את נושא הפגיעה באוכלוסיה ערבית תמימה הנפגעת מחעוצר. צידן במיוחד את הכפר תאל (7) שתושביו ביקשו לשדוק את התאגיד והדבר נאסר עליהם וכך נרקב כל היבול. אמר שגם שגרירותם בת"א תעלה זאת.

עיר
סמנכ"ל מזתי"ס
מצפ"א
מצרים
תפוצה: 10